CONSUMER CREU!T PUBLIC MEARING

STATE FINANCE COMMISSION

PUBLIC HEARING ON CONSUMER CREDIT
DALLAS, TEXAS—SEPTEMBER 22, 1966

HG 3755 T43 v.3

SENATOR DON KENNARD

3 1334 00256 0668

University of Texas at Arlington Livial Arlington, Texas

H6 3755 T43 V.3





State Of Texas

STATE FINANCE COMMISSION

CONSUMER CREDIT STUDY COMMITTEE

Public Hearing at Dallas, Texas

September 22, 1966

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROCEEDINGS	PAGE
Morning SessionSeptember 22, 1966	. 1
Mr. G. Duffield Smith, Better Business Bureau, Dallas, Texas	. 6
Mr. Roland H. Hill, Assistant District Attorney of Tarrant County, Fort Worth, Texas	. 14
Mrs. Peggy Huffman, Executive Director, Legal Aid Clinic, Dallas, Texas	. 20
Mrs. Mable Spillman Barber, Legal Aid Clinic, Dallas, Texas	. 29
Mr. Vincent Rohloff, Director, Dallas Legal Services Project, Dallas, Texas	. 42
Mr. Walter Steele, Chief Counsel, Dallas Legal Services Project, Dallas, Texas	. 44
Mr. Spencer Mitchlin, Public Education Director, Dallas County Community Action Committee, Dallas, Texas	. 53
Mr. John T. Ingle, Oakcliff Savings and Loan Association, Dallas, Texas	. 56
Mr. John F. Curran, Vice President, First National Bank, Dallas, Texas	. 60
Professor Bolton, SMU Legal Aid Clinic, Dallas, Texas	. 67
Mr. Edward C. (Ned) Fritz, Attorney at Law, Dallas, Texas.	. 86
Afternoon SessionSeptember 22, 1966	. 141
Mr. Jack Ducate, Dallas Retail Merchants Association, Dallas, Texas	. 141
Mr. Jack Mitchell, Secretary, Dallas Teachers Credit Association, Dallas, Texas	. 159

Dallas Hearing Table of Contents--2

PROCEEDINGScontinued P	AGE
Mr. Harvey L. Davis, SMU, Chairman, Committee to Prevent	170
Unreasonable Interest Rates	170
CERTIFICATE	182

PROCEEDINGS

2

1

3

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

23

24 25

(The hearing was called to order by the Chairman at 9:15 a.m.)

MR. MISKELL: I would like to call this meeting to order and to welcome you all to this hearing.

As you know, this is the Dallas public hearing of the State Finance Commission's Study Committee on Consumer Credit.

I would like to introduce you to the other gentlemen up here on the platform. Mr. Paul Lindsey, a member of the State Finance Commission from Dallas, and Mr. H. T. Latham, another member of the State Finance Commission from Stephenville.

Other members of the Committee, Mr. Gerst and Mr. Falkner will not be with us today, and there is a possibility that Mr. Baker will not be with us, but as you know we are having our testimony transcribed and it will be in their hands within a matter of days.

It may be repetitious to some of you, but II would like to take a few moments to tell you why we are here and what we are trying to accomplish.

As you probably know, this Study Committee was created in response to a request formulated by Governor Connally last year. The Governor asked the State Finance Commission to study the entire field of consumer credit in order to determine if there was a need for a comprehensive codal legislation which would assure adequate rates, and the right of competition and also protect the public interest in various consumer credit transactions. This State Finance Commission has appointed a committee, and the committee has been at work on this study for a year. These hearings are a part of the study.

We have gone to San Antonio, to Houston, and now are here in Dallas. We will go to Austin. We have sent out invitations to the public at large, interested social organizations who are working on this problem and various representatives of the consumer finance industry to come and testify and make any position that they want to make in regard to the problems in this area, and any possible solutions to the problems.

The Committee, would like to assure you, has no preconceptions as to conditions in the field of consumer credit. This is the purpose of the hearing, to find out actually, to get some sort of public response as to the possible problems, possible solutions and possible needs.

We have an invitation roster or registration roster at the entrance, in case some of you may not have registered. If you care to testify at this point,

would you please sign that roster so we may know about it and may call upon you. If you decide at a later point, if you have heard testimony from some witness, you may feel you would then like to testify, we can't assure you of immediate hearing, but if you will sign the roster we will try to get you in.

Now, in addition, if you feel that you are not accustomed to public speaking with the mike and so-forth, and you would rather just put your comments down on paper and send them to us, this will be fine. The record of this hearing will be open and the Commission, the Study Committee, would be very happy to have your comments at any time you would care to make them. So we would leave that alternative open to you.

I would like, for some who may not know it, and the point we would like to make is that this is a study committee, it is not an investigating committee in the traditional sense. It is not an adjudicatory body that it is set up to judge in a particular area. The Committee has been assigned the problem of studying the consumer credit problem and trying to formulate recommendations to the Governor for his use in the next legislative session.

It is not our purpose, nor do we have the

authority, to sit up here and render judgment on a particular transaction or series of transactions. We would like to call that to your attention.

I would also like to note for some of you who may be interested, that this hearing is being transcribed by Mr. Walter Hickman, who is a court reporter in Austin. Mr. Hickman will have available copies of the transcript of the hearing, and also he has a procedure for making tapes of the testimony at the hearing. If any of you are interested in the transcript or any tape of the hearing, you may contact Mr. Hickman. He is the gentleman right in front of me on the stenotype, and whatever arrangements you make with him are your business with Mr. Hickman. We did want to call that to your attention.

With this in mind, I would like to call our first witness, Mr. Duffield Smith of the Better Business Bureau of Dallas. Mr. Smith.

By the way, to any of the witnesses, if you are making a presentation from a written paper, our reporter would like to have a copy of that presentation so he can include it in the record. If you don't have a copy available, you can mail it to him at a later date.

MR. G. DUFFIELD SMITH BETTER BUSINESS BUREAU DALLAS, TEXAS

was called assa witness and testified as follows:

MR. SMITH: My name is G. Duffield Smith. I am Vice President and General Manager of the Better Business Bureau of Dallas.

Your notice of September 7 lists what is identified as four principal components of installment credit, these being personal loans, automobile paper, home repair and modernization loans and other consumer goods paper.

I would like to refer to each in the light of our general experience, based on complaints and reports received from the general public. I might say that we receive over a period of a year some ten to twelve thousand complaints on all types of business transactions.

Complaints to the Better Business Bureau of
Dallas from borrowers resulting from excessive and unconscionable interest charged by lenders formally
constituted a very real and serious problem because of
their large number and the seriousness of the personal
situations that were involved in so many of them.

First, as to personal loans:

However, since passage of the Regulatory

1

2

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Loan Act, this situation has very greatly changed for the better. During recent months particularly such complaints have been rare, to such an extent that the problems which may still exist in this field would seem to us to be minimum. I might say that during the month of September I had the office isolate any complaints that came in involving personal loans, and during September we did not receive any of them.

Secondly, as to automobile paper;

Inadequate disclosure of terms, rates and conditions of contracts and overcharging or overloading of borrowers or purchases with side charges are principal causes of complaints received from members of the public involving the financing of automobile purchases. Many of these complaints result from the practice of having purchasers sign contracts "in blank" to be filled in at some later date with the purchaser subsequently learning that his payments substantially exceed what he was led to believe they would be. I am not able to give a comparative statistical report on the number of such complaints received over any given period of time compared with some previous period. We just don't keep our statistics that way. But I can say that such complaints frequently disclose that balances financed have included

1

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

charges for items which the purchaser had no advanced knowledge he was buying. In one typical case, such a purchaser complained when he subsequently learned that the amount of his note exceeded the amount to be financed by \$505.53, the unpaid balance due on his car at the time the transaction was made, being \$1,245. He sought an explanation for the amount of this difference. A breakdown of the charges obtained from the finance company disclosed, in addition to a finance charge of \$166.50, such other charges as the premium on personal effects insurance, life insurance, health and accident insurance, personal liability and property damage, and \$50 deductible fire and theft damage for a total of \$339.03, none of which items he realized he was buying.

Third, home repair and modernization loans:

While complaints from the general public involving the financing of home repair and modernization loans have not been numerically important, so far as financing is concerned, there has been a widespread practice of loaning money to home owners for purposes other than home repairs and modernization and obtaining a mechanic's lien obtained through the device of having the grantor-home owner execute a false statement to the

effect that the money was used for home improvements when such was not the case. These are the so-called "second mortgage brokers," as they are referred to in some states.

Frequently such advertising appears in the classified columns of newspapers directed to home owners and offering money for debt consolidation purposes, "with small home repair." In the case of one such company in Dallas, the Better Business Bureau found that of a long list of mechanic's liens filed of record, only a very small percentage actually involved any home improvements or repairs at all. In all other instances the funds borrowed have been used for other and different purposes.

Fourth, other consumer goods paper:

Credit extended for the purchase of such items as home appliances, furniture, clothing and jewelry very rarely results in complaint from consumers to the Better Business Bureau involving the financing of these items.

It may be appropriate here to mention the financing of tuition contracts for instruction to be received from trade schools. I don't know what classification this would fall under, but it does

Smith

represent something of a problem. It may be noted that numerous private trade schools offer various types of both resident and/or correspondence courses of instruction in the field of electronics, office and business machines, and so on. Many such schools employ sales people who travel over the United States recruiting students. Such prospective students are frequently advised that the school will find them employment in Dallas so that they might earn while they learn. Often, prospective students are led to believe that such employment will be in the same field in which they will receive instruction. Many students sign contracts for such instruction, providing for the payment of several hundreds of dollars tuition over a period of time. Such contracts then may be sold to finance companies. some instances, these companies appear to be owned by the same people that operate the school. Frequently, such students arrive in Dallas to begin their courses and find that their employment will be in some field completely unrelated to the field of instruction; in some instances, they are merely referred to the Texas Employment Service and through that obtain a job as a service station attendant or some other minor job not related to what he is going to study. They may learn

that the equipment of the school does not fulfill expectations. Upon trying to discontinue such instructions, they find that their notes have been sold to some finance company which, as purchaser in due course, demands fulfillment of their payment as contracted.

The Better Business Bureaus have, over the years, recommended to consumers that they obtain detailed information in advance as to what they are buying and the price of same. This, in order to promote a better relationship between business and the public. This has not always been possible for the consumer to do since, more often than not, particularly in the financeing of automobiles, a full breakdown of information has not been available even to the dealer.

It would appear, therefore, that such regulations as have been put into effect in Texas in the recent past have substantially diminished the causes of public complaint and it would appear that some type of disclosure requirements as to terms, rates and conditions might go far in eliminating much of the public complaint of today. Rates of interest which are fair and reasonable to both borrowers and lenders would, of course, be desirable. It is probable that these objectives can be achieved only by licensing provisions with regulations or

supervision by some State authority certainly this twould be preferable than to have some regulation at the federal level.

With respect to notes given for courses of instruction, some states are understood to have regulations which provide relief to students who, for cause, find it necessary or desirable to discontinue such courses of instruction, thereby affording them some relief on the unpaid balances which may remain to be paid under their tuition notes.

That is my statement, Mr. Chairman.

MR. MISKELL: Thank you very much, Mr. Smith.

BY MR. MISKELL:

Q Mr. Smith, you brought out that the complaints have greatly diminished since the passage of the Regulatory Loan Act. You are probably referring to complaints about consumer finance companies. Let me ask you this so we could have it in the record.

Has the Better Business Bureau received any complaints concerning banks?

- A No, sir.
- Q Lending practices of banks.
- A No, sir.
- Q What about savings and loan associations?

HICKMAN REPORTING SERVICE AUSTIN, TEXAS

1	Smith
2	A No, sir.
. 3	Q Are there any complaints there?
4	A No, sir.
5	Q What about credit unions? Do you get any
6	complaints concerning consumer credit?
7	A Very rarely.
8	Q Very rarely.
9	A Once in awhile.
10	Q All right. I wanted to add that to the
11	record so we could have it on this.
12	One other group. In terms of retail sales,
13	do you get complaints concerning revolving credit?
14	A No.
14	A No. Q No complaints there?
15	Q No complaints there?
15 16	Q No complaints there? A No.
15 16 17	Q No complaints there? A No. Q What about appliance credit and other long-
15 16 17 18	Q No complaints there? A No. Q What about appliance credit and other long- term credit from your retail establishments? I am
15 16 17 18 19	Q No complaints there? A No. Q What about appliance credit and other long- term credit from your retail establishments? I am thinking of the merchants we all know, let's say
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q No complaints there? A No. Q What about appliance credit and other long- term credit from your retail establishments? I am thinking of the merchants we all know, let's say Penney's and Neiman-Marcus and Titche's, Sanger-Harris,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q No complaints there? A No. Q What about appliance credit and other long- term credit from your retail establishments? I am thinking of the merchants we all know, let's say Penney's and Neiman-Marcus and Titche's, Sanger-Harris, Sears, Montgomery Wards. Do they constitute problems
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q No complaints there? A No. Q What about appliance credit and other long- term credit from your retail establishments? I am thinking of the merchants we all know, let's say Penney's and Neiman-Marcus and Titche's, Sanger-Harris, Sears, Montgomery Wards. Do they constitute problems for you?

Hill

giving us this statement.

MR. MISKELL: I would like to call Mr. Roland
H. Hill, Assistant District Attorney, Tarrant County.
Mr. Hill. will you come up?

MR. ROLAND H. HILL
ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF TARRANT COUNTY
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

was called as a witness and testified as follows:

MR. HILL: Mr. Chairman, members of the Committee, ladies and gentlemen. I would like to preface my remarks, first, with the following observation. We realize that the problems we may present to this Commission are not new. We also realize that not only

the consumer may be involved, but many times the lending agent as well as the consumer. However, since all of

our complaints in the past, over the past eight years,

have been from the consumer, we will confine what

remarks we have to make to the complaints that we have

received from the consumer.

The most common complaint that we have is paper which has been negotiated to a finance company or some other agency after charges have been added above

Hill

2	and beyond the agreed price, and very often the interes
3	itself on these notes would be reasonable and fair,
4	that is, if we had only the interest itself to con-
5	sider. It is a very common abuse and practice in our
6	county among the automobile dealers. We have had many
7	complaints with the agents representing the creditors.
8	Our biggest problem recently, and one that we have had
9	increasing on a number of occasions, is the collecting
10	agent coming to the home of the borrower and sitting
11	down and not leaving the house. We have had several
12	occasions, on one occasion where this man came to the
13	house and seated himself in the living room and refused
14	to leave, at which time the lady and the children were
15	in the home, and he pulled the phone from the wall
16	and refused to leave for some time, and she was finall
17	successful in getting out the back door and calling th
18	police and he left. Of course, in that particular
19	instance, we had a remedy in the criminal code which
20	we could take care of it. After consulting with his
21	company, why, we did have him in and he apparently
22	wasn't acting as an agent for the company in that
23	particular capacity. He shouldn't have gone that far.
24	But we have had several complaints of sit-ins by the
25	collector.

1

3

4

6

7

.

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hill

And we have also had a number of complaints of collectors leaving large pasteboard signs in the windows and in the screens of a debtors house at times when they were gone in an apparent hope to humiliate the debtor before the neighbors in the area.

One of our biggest problems, if not our largest problem over there, is automobile dealers who are taking their vehicles of purchasers who come in. A purchaser will come in and the dealer will tell him that he will get him a certain type of car within a prescribed period for a given price. He then talks the man into leaving his car and title with him. automobile dealer then takes his title, of the man's car that was brought in, to a lending agency where he floorplans the vehicle. He then sells the vehicle to someone else and finances the car for them through a different lending agency. We then have the title with one lending agent, the paper on the car with another lending agent and the vehicle with an individual, and then we have the individual who originally lost the vehicle and doesn't have anything. This has been an increasing problem in our area. We feel that they are failing to follow the certificate of title act in their credit dealings in this respect.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hill

We have, of course, the old problem of

divorce in which the lending agents have a loan or a

lien against the household furnishings and the collection

agent finds the place of the former wife and continues

to harass her with her employer and her place of

employment.

And as the Better Business Bureau described, we have had a lot of trouble with so-called trade schools or colleges in which the personnel, prospective students, come into the school. They are told that their tuition will be a certain amount and that the books will be a certain amount. Then, the student is given his registration papers and among the registration papers seem to be papers for financing this education that he is going to get. He fills out these papers under an agreement to pay so much a month tuition and books, and he only has to pay a hundred dollars down, or a varying amount. Invariably, after the loan has been applied for, the student discovers that his tuition is twice what it was supposed to have been. The paper has been negotiated to a financial institution and we get the people at the time he drops out of school a month later, or six months later, when he finds that his tuition exceeds by several hundred

AUSTIN, TEXAS

Hill:

dollars the amount that he thought it would be.

We have had many cases where an auto repair agent is advertising for one hundred dollars to overhaul an engine in a vehicle. I will cite you an example which may be stranger than fiction, which has happened within the past week there in Fort Worth. That is, the man took his vehicle in; they disassembled the engine and laid it all over the garage floor and called him in four days later. They informed him at that time that his repair bill was going to exceed much what they expected it to be; that it was going to be in the neighborhood of \$350 or \$400. After thinking it over, he left the vehicle with them to be repaired. He was called in on the following week and told that he would have—he had agreed to pay one hundred dollars He was told then that he could finance the rest through them and they would carry the paper. he got in he then signed a note for \$500. By the time he got to us, the lending institution, a finance company, he brought in his payments and we figured them up and it was in a total amount of \$960 which was to be paid over a period of time. These problems. I know. are not new to you, but we felt perhaps they would be interesting to the Commission.

1

2

3

4

5

7

3

4

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

I believe that is all.

MR. MISKELL: Thank you very much, Mr. Hill. BY MR. MISKELL:

Q Mr. Hill, let me ask you a question, and we are framing a record here. Has the district attorney's office received complaints about lending practices of any of your banks in Tarrant County?

A No, sir, we have not.

- Q What about your savings and loan associations in Tarrant County?
 - A No, sir, we have not.
 - Q And credit unions in Tarrant County?
 - A No, sir.
- Q All right. Thank you. One other point I might make, we didn't clarify, but I think it is important. These lenders, are these problems that you have cited, these are mostly lenders who are not subject to any regulation by the State. Is that correct?
 - A That is correct, sir.
- Q What about lenders who are under the Texas

 Regulatory Loan Act? Do you have major problems there?
 - A No major problems that II am aware of.

MR. MISKELL: All right. Thank you very much,

Mr. Hill. We appreciate your coming over here and taking your time.

I might make for the record, Mr. Hill is

busily engaged in a murder trial in Fort Worth and he still has rushed over here this morning and has to return this afternoon, and probably continue with his work there. But we appreciate very much your coming and want to express our thanks to District Attorney Doug Crouch for allowing you to take the time to help the Committee in its work.

MR. HILL: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.

MR. MISKELL: Mrs. Peggy Huffman. Mrs.

Huffman is Executive Director of the Legal Aid Clinic
here in Dallas County.

MRS. PEGGY HUFFMAN
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, LEGAL AID CLINIC
DALLAS, TEXAS

was called as a witness and testified as follows:

I wasn't supposed to come on until eleven. I got here

early. I have to try and collect my thought.

Basically, I can go over the same thing that

MRS. HUFFMAN: You called me a little early;

Mr. Hill mentioned. We do have a lot of complaints

1

23

24

25

recently from the trade schools, and so-called business colleges, particularly one that operates in Fort Worth 3 holds itself out to be a specialist in the area of drafting and IBM techniques. Their ads in the paper, of course, suggests that there will be work while in training. The work turns out to be not even related 7 to the field; either clerks or mechanics or station 8 helpers at garages, which do not provide for adequate income to train or go to school, if the facilities of 10 the school were adequate, which they usually are not. 11 The instruction is not adequate nor are the facilities 12 of the school with their business machines or anything 13 of the nature. The people that we have come in contact 14 with have made the mistake of borrowing the money 15 separate and apart from the school of contracts, either 16 having their parents borrow the money and then turn 17 the cash over to the school, and their recourse is 18 slight because the school is usually already so stacked 19 up with judgments that they do not pay, that the most 20 the student could get would be a paper judgment, which 21 they would not be able to collect. 22

This has been a problem that we have had just recently, quite frequently. We didn't have it too much in the past. We also have problems with the

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

automobile dealers who are rather harsh in their repossession tactics of letting people pay until they get,
oh, two-thirds of the amount of the car paid for and
then with the slightest little delay in payment they
repossess by going out in the middle of the night and
hauling the car off or taking it off the parking lot
where the employee works.

We have also had problems in the repair of automobiles where a large amount is being charged for the repair and it takes two or three weeks to get the repairs done. The person gets their automobile and finds that it is not repaired properly—at least it doesn't run right; something is the matter with it some They take it back and they keep it another two or three weeks. They get their car again and it still is not functioning in a way that an automobile should function. They take it back again; they go through the same routine of repairs, take it back, and it will stay two weeks and take it back again until finally they are so disgusted with the people that they wonder what is the matter. So far the repairmen are always willing to do the repair or to keep it until it gets to a point then say, Well, wwe have done what we ve done and now somethings

else is the matter with your car and it will take another sum

of money in order to get it in running condition. It wasn't our fault that you had a busted block or that your engine was going out just at this time. These things happen."

Usually it involves several hundreds of dollars in these repairs, three to four hundred dollars.

We have had problems with the harassment of individuals where they have mortgaged their furniture, things of this nature, for just cash money to use and then they get behind in their payments and they are constantly harassed at work or at home at all hours of the day or night; threatened by letters to be put in jail; things of this nature that they don't know what can be done about and, therefore, are scared and are upset. The harassment that we have run into has never got to the extent that the people are physically damaged or harmed, but they are constantly harassed and I imagine would continue to be until they make some little payment, which they do make and then the harassment starts over.

Our other main problem that we have run into is in the home repair line, which has sort of slacked off within the last year, luckily. It's liable to start up again if there isn't some kind of regulation

1

3

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

done, but the home repair would be repairing someone's home and doing a slipshod job in some areas and in some areas do a good job, and yet the price that was charged would be excessive for the whole job, maybe twice the value of the actual work and repair that was done to the home. Of course, after six months or two years the work has been there and then the roof begins to leak or falls in in a certain area. That is when we hear the complaint and get it that far later. Of course, I feel that the basic problem that we have in any of these complaints is that it's tragic that it is always the people without the education to know to consult someone before they get this far into a thing before they invest two or three hundred dollars or a thousand or two in something without even counseling with anyone. Of course, we know that they have highpressured tactics to sign people up on any kind of repair or anything that will improve their life, as well as these - These are the same people that could not get credit from any reputable institution or from any reputable repairman, and that is why they are extended the credit here.

These are the only ones that I have noted, and the only ones that are pressing at this time, in

the Fort Worth area.

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. MISKELL:

Q Mrs. Huffman?

A Yes, sir.

Q Let me correct the record so to speak. I notice you are Executive Director of Legal Aid Clinic, and I just saw on your record, 254 Civil Courts Building, and that's in Fort Worth. And so you are the director of the Legal Aid in Fort Worth. I would just like to clarify that. So we have another representative of Fort Worth. I would just like to make that for the record.

Mrs. Huffman, let me ask you this, and it is a question because we are trying to survey the entire field: Have you had complaints about banks?

A Never about banks.

Q Never about banks. What about savings and loan associations?

A Not that I can recall, ever.

Q All right. What about credit unions?

A No.

Q Any complaints there?

A Not on credit unions.

Q And then, of course, in the cash loan field,

we have lenders or who are licensed under the Texas

Regulatory Loan Act. Do you have complaints there?

A Off the top of my head, I really don't know who is licensed under that. The only complaints that we have had about the small loans would be in the harrassment area.

Q In the harrassment area there. Do you have very many complaints there?

A Oh, not as many as I feel probably exist, if they knew to call and tell us.

Q I think that is a question. I was going to come to that. Do you think in terms of the scope and the seriousness of the problem that the public is aware there are remedies on this? In other words, the complaints you receive, are they representative of the depth of the problem or is it just a small percentage of the public that knows they can get some assistance from your office?

A I think it is a small percentage, because most people will continue to take it because they are thinking they are in the wrong, not paying on time, and they will take as much as they can, and finally, when they get to us, they will be beat down and don't have anything else to do.

Q Or, in turn, we will just say, "Well, I've been been stuck; that's my own fault. I'll pay it out and

HICKMAN REPORTING SERVICE AUSTIN, TEXAS

1

never go there again."

3

I think that's the majority.

4

5

There is one other group. We have talked about lending institutions. What about retailers? Do you have complaints there involving credit practices?

6 7

The only one instance that I can think about is where someone didn't back up their warranty.

8

That didn't apply to consumer credit?

10

No .

11

Things like revolving credit, nobody comes 0 in and says, "I didn't know what was happening to me"?

12

We have had no complaints in that area.

13 14

No complaints in that area there. Q

15

16

Well, let me push on and phrase this question Do you feel that there would be need for to you: legislation in these areas that are not subject to

17

regulation now?

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I definitely feel there is need for regulation, mainly because quite often-well, I know our legal aid is limited in our scope and our money of what we can do to help these people and if they fall right out of our category, which many of them do, there is nothing they can do. If we don't have some kind of legislation and regulation this way, these people aren't going to

be helped by me or anyone else.

Q Anyone else. Do you feel that if we had in legislation, if we had, let's say, disclosure provisions as to terms and rates and the conditions of the contract and the requirement the borrower receive a written contract and there would be no blank spaces, none of this thing of a contract taking one day and sent to him a few days later, do you think that might improve the situation?

A I think that would greatly help,

Q What about education? Do you think there is a need for education in this area?

A I definitely feel there is a need for education. I am strong in that belief because I feel without it these things will continue to happen, even if we have full disclosure and things for people that are not educated enough to know what this full disclosure is, it is not going to help them.

Q Basically, the Legal Aid Clinic is in the educational field.

A Yes.

Q In essence, it is. If somebody were to come in and show you a contract, you could, in effect, interpret it for them, if they would only know enough to

Huffman '

come in to you?

A If they would know enough to come to us. Right.

Q All right. Thank you very much, Mrs. Huffman. We appreciate your coming over today to see us.

A Thank you very much.

MR. MISKELL: I would like to call Mrs. Mable
Spillman Barber, Legal Aid attorney here in Dallas
County. So we have equal time for the two groups.

MRS. MABLE SPILLMAN BARBER LEGAL AID DALLAS, TEXAS

was called as a witness and testified as follows:

MRS. BARBER: Mr. Chairman, for some years I have been in charge of the Legal Aid Bureau here in Dallas. This office here in Dallas, the one I am connected with, is financed by the City of Dallas and we have housed in the County Welfar Building, which was built by the city and county funds. I am physically close to the County Welfare Office and by reason of long association am close to their operation. I talked with Mr. Glen Coker, the director of the County Welfare

Barber

Department, before coming over here, and he estimated that between ten and fifteen per cent of their intake would involve people who had had consumer finance problems. Of course, their eligibility requirements for assistance are low income people and usually people with no income, so there would be a lot of people that they would never contact because of their eligibility requirement. Now, of course, when they do get a situation where a client has been mistreated or is in trouble, why, they refer them to our office.

The lady that preceded me well covered the types of cases we get. I can only spell out a little more specifically what we have had recently. Not long ago one of the case workers referred an elderly lady to me who was drawing social security. She had been in the hospital some years ago and for some reason that we didn't go into, the insurance didn't cover the operation and other expenses.—some finance company had taken the paper and now were trying to collect it, and they threatened to garnishee her social security check, and of course, it was a very hard thing to allay her fears about this, because this was the only thing she had, and she was very upset about it.

Then, of course, we can't handle all the cases

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Barber

that we get. If we feel they are over income, or the people can afford a private attorney, of course, we refer them. But as was mentioned earlier, there is an educational process that we do try to go through with and tell these people what did happen to them so that maybe it won't happen to them again.

One thing that hasn't been mentioned, I don't believe, is the magazine subscriptions that so many of these housewives take. Most of the ones that we have had are ones where they are supposed to be parent magazines that give you instructions on rearing children and diet and that sort of thing. They are supposed to pay a minimum amount each month. It is about five months before they ever get a magazine and they haven't paid anything in the meantime, haven't been contacted, and they are then given a full bill for the whole subscription, and then when they can't pay that, why, they are threatened that their husband will be bothered on his job and that they will go to the school and tell them that they haven't paid for their magazines, and all sorts of things to try to coerce them into making their payments. So many of these people, of course, they don't realize that the note, the money is going to be discounted and sold to some finance company. They

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are not sophisticated enough in money matters. They have no idea that they are going to get a letter from somebody in Kansas City or Chicago threatening to sue them and take all kinds of judgments against them. Then, a lot of these contracts that I have seen are in such small print that it is difficult to read them, even with a good magnifying glass. I had a young woman in a week or two ago who was going to summer school, and I suppose she was thinking about getting married. She had bought a cutlery set that was supposed to be about \$75. She thought she was going to get a set of crystal free. Well, when she got the long contract, it showed in it that she would get the crystal at a reduced price, and altogether she owed about three times what she had thought she was going to have to pay. She was a college girl, but this contract was so involved and in such tiny print that she certainly succumbed to it.

As previous speakers have mentioned, this automobile repair business— I had a man and his wife in last week. He was drawing social security disability. They had taken their car, which he had bought when he got his lump sum social security, to some place. For \$69.50 they were supposed to replace the transmission,

2.

so when they went back to get the car, they had taken the transmission out and put it in the trunk; they had taken the drive shaft out and put it in the back of the car and told them that it would cost about \$199.50, and they wouldn't go ahead until they had at least brought them about fifty dollars. This goes on and on.

The people signed these contracts and yet, they invariably tell me that at the time they signed it the salesman told them they could stop any time on the subscription, when the contract plainly states they can't stop. And this occurred in a dance studio proposition. A young man signed up for some dancing lessons. He was told that he could stop taking the dancing lessons any time he wanted to. He took and paid for two or three months, and then terminated. Then, he got a long letter from Kansas City that he owed them about two hundred dollars more.

Too, another thing that I have been observing is a lot of these finance companies have the word "credit" in their name, and the person is constantly pressed with the idea that this is all exhaustive; that an exhaustive search has been made of his credit and this will hound him to his dying days if he doesn't pay for these dancing lessons, or for the transmission that

he didn't get fixed, or for the magazines that came five months after they were ordered. I would think it would be excellent to have big print and to have, as you mentioned, full disclosure; and of course, I understand there is a certain amount of window dressing and salesmanship is permissible, but it seems so often a salesman says absolutely the opposite of what is in the contract, and a lot of these people, frankly, can't read well. They can sign their name and they know certain figures and certainly they can drive; they have learned driving signals, but it is amazing the number of people yet who can't read and write adequately.

Of course, the general educational programs, as they come along would be most helpful. That is the main thing that we have tried to do, is to tell them what happens to people and hope that next time they wouldn't be hurt.

That's all that I have, Mr. Chairman.

MR. MISKELL: Thank you very much, Mrs.

Barber. Would you mind a few questions?

MRS. BARBER: Surely.

BY MR. MISKELL:

Q At the Legal Aid Clinic, do you have any complaints about banks here in Dallas County?

1	Barber
2	A No, sir. I don't recall any.
. 3	Q What about savings and loan associations?
4	A No, sir. Our clients don't have any contact
5	with them as a general rule.
6	Q Any credit unions?
7	A Well, we have had a few credit unions.
8	Q You do?
9	A Yes.
10	Q What is the nature of the complaints on credit
11	unions?
12	A Well, they are a little surprised at the
13	amount of interest that they pay. And there has been
14	some, rather brutal repossessions in credit union
15	practices.
16	Q Right. Have you found credit unions charging
17	you serious interest?
18	A No. I think in these cases that we have had,
19	we haven't had too many; that the interest rate wasn't
20	fully explained to the person and it was a lot more than
21	they thought it would be, of course.
22	Q · But it was still a legal rate?
23	A It was still a legal rate, but it was excessive
24	in the way it had been explained to them, or the way
25	they understood it.

Barber

Q Right.

A I think it was really a lack of communication.

They didn't sit down and really talk it over and say,

"You are going to pay this much more."

Q Right. What about retailers? Have you had complaints about them?

A We have had a few on these revolving accounts in town. Again, people are surprised. You know, \$6 a month they've got on their bill for a revolving account.

Q This is in essence a surprise?

A Uh-huh.

Q They don't comprehend?

A They don't comprehend it. When it is explained to them, why, they can adjust to it, and say, "Well, maybe next time they will just pay cash," or they will know what they are involving themselves in.

Q Right. When you come into complaints of that nature, do you find that the retailer extending the credit has given full disclosure to the borrower?

A Yes. They usually find with the retailer, it has been disclosed.

Q In other words, the retailer has shown it to the borrower, but the borrower has not comprehended that

he is going to pay that amount?

A No, it hasn't been—they are not conscious of it. It is just something that they don't—they don't understand.

Q I think you may have put this out. Are most of the people that come to you, would you say they are uneducated or lower income groups?

A Well, most of them have finished three or four grades. Oh, I would say about twenty-five per cent the tenth or eleventh grade, and very rarely—

I have gotten in the habit of asking them how far they have gone in school, because it is really indicative of how much you can counsel with them and how much they can understand about it. But we don't get too many high school graduates.

Q Right. This point has been raised in San Antonio when we talked about education in the field of consumer credit in the schools.

A Yes.

Q And the point has been made that many of the people that need this education in the schools will probably have dropped out of school before you can even reach him with education.

A That is quite interesting. It is.

Q Let me raise this other point. At the Legal Aid, have you come upon or have people come in that you might call overloaded borrowers? In other words, people that have overextended themselves in credit?

A Oh, yes. Yes, we certainly do. I have had them figure up within two dollars of their income payments they are supposed to make every month.

Q Have you been able in your work with these people, have you been able to determine what accounts for this factor, what puts people in this position?

they can handle it, but any disaster, anything that happens is disasterous. It is usually both husband and wife are working and usually one child is working. Well, something happens to the husband or something happens to the wife, or even if the eighteen year old boy doesn't work any more—suppose he gets married and leaves the home— Anything like that will upset the whole credit schedule. They don't see that far. They see right then that they can pay it, but they don't anticipate any sort of thing happening to them, as a rule. They don't leave any margin of safety at all.

Q Have you had any of these compulsive borrowers, people who just can't resist walking down the street?

Barber 1 Yes. Uh-huh. A 2 As they walk down the street and see something shiney in a window they have to have it? 4 Yes, sir. What solution is there for these people? Well, I even attempted one time to have a 7 guardian appointed—to have a wife appointed a man' 8 guardian because he bought so lavishly, but I didn't 9 quite pull that off. (Laughter) 10 Q Well, now, actually, the Legal Aid Clinic 11 really—these people probably need to be helped by 12 economic counseling rather than legal assistance? 13 Frequently. Frequently they do. 14 Right. Do we have any social agencies here 15 in Dallas County that service that need? 16 I understand that Family Service do that sort 17 of thing. I am not really well acquainted with their 18 19 in-take policies or their procedures, but I understand 20

that they do counsel with families about budget matters and try to budget their income. MR. MISKELL: Thank you very much, Mrs. Barber. We do appreciate your coming and giving the Committee

21

22

23

24

25

this assistance.

A I am happy to be here. Thank you very much.

MR. MISKELL: Before we proceed to the next witness, I would just like to pause for a minute and make note on the record at the time we held these 3 hearings we extended an invitation to various members 4 of the legislature in Dallas and Tarrant County, and 5 also to all the nominees, Democratic and Republican, 6 to attend this hearing if their schedules would permit 7 in order that they might have some recognition of the problems they may face in this area in the next legis-9 lative session. We have received a very good response 10 to that. I would like to recognize some of the nominees 11 who are present here today. 12 Mr. Oscar Mauzy, Democratic nominee for 13 State Senator, 23rd District. We are glad to have you with us, Mr. Mauzy. 15

1

2

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. James W. Stroud, State Representative. Mr. Stroud is here with us today, too. Thank you, Mr. Stroud.

And Mr. Jim Clark, Democratic nominee, District 33. Place 12. Good to have you with us, Jim.

I would like to make known for the record, we have received a number of communications from other members of the legislature. Senator Parkhouse said he was going to try and be here if his schedule would permit, but that he was very interested in the work of

the Committee and, of course, Senator Parkhouse was the leader in the passing of the Texas Regulatory Loan Act, so I feel sure that if he can make it he will make it. I would like to call Mr. Vincent Rohloff. He is program director of Dallas Legal Services Project. Mr. Rohloff.

Vincent Rohloff

1

3

5

6

7

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

25

MR. VINCENT ROHLOFF, DIRECTOR DALLAS LEGAL SERVICES PROJECT DALLAS, TEXAS

was called as a witness and testified as follows:

MR. ROHLOFF: Our program is supported largely by a grant from the OEO, and the local contributions in the form of rent and voluntary attorneys time. We are under the supervision of the SMU School of Law. We have only been open a little over a month, so our history is not too definitive yet. However, we have had a very heavy work load. The cases are coming in faster than we can process them.

At this time about eleven per cent of the cases involve consumer financing. We think that this percentage will increase as we go along because up to the present time our clients have come largely from the Republic Housing Projects. As we get out into the areas of home ownership, home repairs, we think that we are going to get into considerable more of that. And also in automobile financing, we think we will run into this problem.

At this time we have encountered considerable fear on the part of the indigent. Just the night before last, one woman in a home came up to me after the meeting and said that she and her husband had discussed

Vincent Rohloff

the matter of coming in and talking to us about several instances where they had been cheated, but they were fearful that the persons of whom they were complaining would resort to retaliatory measures, such as harming their children or burning down their house. She was afraid that it might lead to racial tension.

Another part of our program is research and education. Some of the member of the SMU Law School are developing educational materials for use by social workers where there are overlapping legal problems, and also we are going to have educational meetings in the indigent areas wherein we described certain preventive measures that they can take, such as reading contracts before they sign them. Also we are programming the results of our cases and hoping that we'll come up with some helpful information as to the impact of legal problems upon the economic and social welfare of the community as a whole.

Our Chief Counsel, Mr. Walter Steele, who is also here, and in the interest of time, I would suggest that the questions be held until after he has appeared.

MR. MISKELL: Very well. Thank you very much, Mr. Rohloff.

I would like to call now Mr. Walter Steele,

Chief Counsel, Dallas Legal Services Project.

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WALTER STEELE, CHIEF COUNSEL DALLAS LEGAL SERVICES PROJECT DALLAS, TEXAS

MR. STEELE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As

was called as a witness and testified as follows:

Mr. Rohloff mentioned, our experience to date in this field is somewhat limited. However, the prime purpose of our project being to reach some conclusions in the field of legal aid in the metropolitan area we did immediately upon inception commence to think in terms of conclusions and possible solutions to some of the problems that have been faced for years in the field of assistance to the people, the low income people. In that connection, if you will permit me, I would like to suggest a few possible areas of solution which have occurred to us in some of the fields of consumer finance. First, with reference to the home improvement problem: Article 5460 of the Texas Civil Statutes is a statute providing briefly that prior to a home improvement wherein we have what is called a "marriage homestead" or what we call a "marriage homestead", a husband and wife living in a homestead. Prior to the improvement of such a place, there must be a contract signed by the contractor,

O

the husband and the wife, separately acknowledged by the wife before a Notary. This contract has to state all the terms and shall be filed with the County Clerk. If that contract is not so entered into prior to the furnishing of any materials, then the lien on the homestead is invalid. It seems to us that a married female has that protection to her homestead when a widow has no protection, or no similar protection. The lady who needs the most protection, seemingly the widow, is subject to the general lien laws contained in the McGregor Act, or Hardyman Act, whichever may apply.

So, it initially seems to us that we need to have some expansion of Article 5460 to have this pre-contract requirement apply to widows, as well as married women. Obviously, you can't have a separate and a part acknowledgment for the widow, but you can have the requirement of pre-contract, and in that connection with reference to the contracts, not only in home financing but in all phases of financing, I agree with Mrs. Barber that the fine print is a problem. The Uniform Commercial Code which was recently enacted in Texas pertains to a concept called, "Conspicious language" which, roughly, as I understand it, means all capital letters. There is some question in my mind as to how

effective this is with a number of the low income people.

No matter how big the print, they may not read it. But at least it would be a step forward to adopt this
"Conspicious requirement" in certain area of the consumer financing field.

Further, in reference to the home improvement area, the Notary requirement. It seems to us that the Notary requirements in this State are honored more in the breach than in the actuality, and we have had thoughts towards suggesting at one time or another that perhaps the entire concept of Notary should be re-examined, so that it is no longer necessary to have a Notary for every possible transaction, which is the stage that we seem to reaching. Why should we have the necessity of a Notary just to have some sworn document? Why not have a statute which says that certain documents wherein you state, "I swear to this" and sign it that it becomes perjury if it is a misstatement.

In the area of the Notary taking the jurat or separate and a part acknowledgment, however, this area is very important. It does provide a protection for wives. It could provide a protection for widows if it was a professional operation. If a Notary were someone who had passed certain requirements, who had taken a

test, who was under a bond in excess of a \$1,000 bond presently required, who perhaps had certain fee schedules to make it a significant, not profession, but at least avocation, which had some meaning rather than what seems to be the time honored concept of the secretary in the office who never really saw the people anyway and the boss just said, "Notarize that form for me."

In the area of "holder in due course", the history of the "holder in due course" law indicates that it was meant to facilitate commerce and not to allow credit operators to take advantage of low incomegroups, certainly. It seems to us that this is really a problem for the banking industry more than perhaps for the lawyers. We recognize the necessities for the "holder in due course." The abuse of the holder in due course law reflects upon the banker, really, but not upon the lawyers. The holder in due course law is for the benefit of the bankers and it is a legitimate benefit, so perhaps it should be left to the bankers to solve the problem of the abuse of the holder in due course law without . lawyers being so presumptious.

I might confess that sometimes I wonder if some of the problems are not created by a little laxity on the part of the lawyers, particularly with the new

1 Uniform Commercial Code. There is a provision now --2 I brought a copy with me -- Article 3, Section 305. We 3 now have a provision which at least on the face of it, allows misrepresentation and fraud to be used as a defense to a holder in due course. There are no Texas 6 cases construing the meaning of the aprovision that I 7 am aware of. I am aware of a Pennsylvania, I believe it is, which takes all of the meaning away, as far as consumer credit. It was a case involving a classical 10 aluminum siding fraud situation and the court said, "Well, 11 it didn't apply and the holder in due course law did 12 apply." I don't know if that will be followed in Texas 13 or not. No one knows. But we have some opportunities 14 sometimes, I feel, as lawyers to challenge a proported 15 holder in due course and we don't just because it is 16 difficult. Particularly legal aid people. We have more 17 cases than we can handle and to challenge a holder in 18 due course takes a great deal of time. You have to go 19 through a lot of discovery procedure, and it is just too 20 time consuming to allow us to do it. So, you have a 21 great body of people that I think the lady from Fort 22 Worth mentioned, who maybe they qualify for legal aid 23 and the legal aid lawyer can't get to it, or they just 24 don't quite qualify and it is so time consuming it is too 25

expensive for them to hire a lawyer to do it. So, the holder in due course law even gets extended further than the law allows just from inaction on the part of the borrower perhaps in certain cases.

In the area of repossession, it seems strange to us -- in my opinion at least -- if a man comes to your home at midnight and removes every stick of furniture that you have, you are a effectively dispossessed from that home as if the landlord had set you on the curb. Isn't it strange, then, that for years in Texas we have had a law which say in order to dispossess someone physically from a home, you must go through a certain court procedure with a notice requirements, and yet for years, repossession ... of chattels of furniture has been accomplished in the dark hours of the night in many cases without any particular legal recourse because it is written in the contract. Once again the Unform Commercial Code may affect this practice a little bit. I am personally not sure yet whether it will or not.

With reference to the types of cases, I think it would practically be a waste of time for me to tell you of our experience of the cases. They are the same as everyone else's experience. There is one area, at least to us, came as a surprise. The furniture area.

1

3

5

6

7.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

We don't understand how certain furniture dealers are able to extend unlimited credit that no bank, no savings and loan institution, no what we would normally consider a legitimate lending institution, would extend to people, apparently without ever even checking a person's credit, without even checking his employment record. We don't know how they can afford to do it, but it is obvious that they can, and it is creating an undue hardship on these people.

I think in conclusion, I might say, generally, that I personally do not subscribe to the theory that you will be successful in educating to any significant degree these low income people on this particular problem. You can have all kinds of requirements about what shall be in the contracts and it can be in small print or in big print, but the problem is, will they read it? particularly when they are subjected to sharpy salesmen. In my personal opinion, the solution has to come from some source of licensing and regulatory procedure enforced by people who have the education and the ability to think in the protective terms, because these people live day by day and not, as Mrs. Barber pointed out, they don't think in the future.

That is all I have, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

BY MR. MISKELL:

Q Thank you very much, Mr. Steele. Mr. Steele, for the record again, in your work there at the Legal Services Project, have you had problems in connection with consumer credit practices of banks?

A No, sir. I don't believe these people would qualify for bank loans.

Q I see. Well, this would also be true of savings and loan associations?

A In my opinion. As best I can, they don't even attempt to go to a bank or a savings and loan institution. It is possible that some of them would actually qualify and don't realize it.

Q I see. Well, do you feel there is need more for legal education in addition to legal assistance?

A Yes, very definitely, there is a need for more legal education, which I feel is primarily the responsibility of the Bar and perhaps something we have been lax in. This is a very significant part of our program. It is set into the contract under a definite plan and foremat.

Q All right. Do you feel then that if you had legislation, if you had legislation, say, of full disclosure in terms of the rate, terms and conditions of

the contract and conspicious language that would be an appreciable help to the public?

A Mr. Chairman, it is a matter of the degree.

Certainly it would help some of them. In considering the relative ease in such a requirement for the financing institutions, I definitely think it is worthwhile, but as an overall solution, I don't think it will work.

Q All right. In other words, are you saying that education won't work or education by itself?

A No. Education will work, but the point is that people have to be educated to read before they sign. The conspicious language is meaningless if the people haven't read it, or if they are so under educated that they are subject to the dominance of the fast talking salesman.

MR. MISKELL: All right. Thank you very much, Mr. Steele.

I would like to call Mr. Spencer Mitchlin,
Public Education Director, Dallas County Community Action
Committee.

Spencer Mitchlin

2

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

SPENCER MITCHLIN
PUBLIC EDUCATION DIRECTOR
DALLAS COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION COMMITTEE
DALLAS, TEXAS

was called as a witness and testified as follows:

MR. MITCHLIN: The Dallas County Community

Action Committee is the local non-profit corporation that runs the war on poverty here. I have been told of the excellent presentation made by the people in Harris

County of their war on poverty program.

In the interest of time I won't try to duplicate what they said, only to say that the problems faced by people in poverty areas are not restricted to Harris County by any means. These exist here, and doubtless in every urban center. The loan companies with high interest rates, unscrupulous door to door salesmen, all are a part of this. What we are basically trying to accomplish in Dallas, from our end of it, and I think it ties in with what Mr. Steele was saying, is that a program of education in the poverty neighborhood where a relatively new Community Action group are in the process now of setting up a series of neighborhood centers in poverty areas. As we get them developed, we are operating now, or planning our first three, and as they develop surely one service that we will offer in these centers will be consumer education, designed to

Spencer Mitchlin

enable the consumers in poverty neighborhoods to be better prepared to live on the little amount of money they have. This will probably, or possibly take the form of a program that has been operated most successfully in St. Louis for the last two years, of classes for primarily women in the neighborhood. They attend class three days a week. They learn about how to buy, what to buy, how to save money in every way they can, and then also these Centers in St. Louis are a focal point for distribution of educational literature, pamphlets and so forth for the poor.

As one of our programs that is in operation already, Mr. Rohloff and Mr. Steele gave an excellent presentation of the Legal Services program which we coordinated the setting up of and the funding.

The problems of the consumer in poverty neighborhoods definitely, I believe, lie in education and I believe that they lie in strict enforcement of State laws to protect the consumer.

We are in kind of an uncomfortable position right now, with the laws that exist. In many areas of the country, quite frankly, we feel that poverty agencies have really over-stepped their bounds to the degree that the war on poverty has actually become a war on business,

Spencer Mitchlin

legitimate honest business, and we don't intend to do this.

On the other hand, some measure of protection must be given to all consumers, especially those in poverty neighborhoods.

Now, specific recommendations that we would suggest to you would be a lower maximum interest rate, full disclosure provisions, regulation and licensing of all door to door salesmen, and a provision for State aid to neighborhood consumer groups, to neighborhood centers and to war on poverty agencies all over the State. This aid could take the form of speakers, materials, programmassistants made available to us to coordinate our activities with those of the State of Texas. And needlessly to say, we would like to see strict enforcement of all laws designed to protect the consumer.

MR. STEELE: Thank you very much, Mr. Mitchlin.
Do you have any questions?

Thank you very much, Mr. Mitchlin.

I see that we have had some coffee brought into us, so I say we have it here, let's take a short break. and then, we will resume with our testimony.

(Brief recess.)

I would like to call Mr. John T. Ingle, Oakcliff
Savings and Loan Association here in Dallas.

John T. Ingle

MR. JOHN T. INGLE
OAK CLIFF SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION
DALLAS, TEXAS

was called as a witness and testified as following:

MR. INGLE: Mr. Miskell and gentlemen, I am here representing Oak Cliff Savings and Loan Association whose main office is here in Dallas. We have offices in Dallas and Tarrant County.

Oak Cliff Savings, along with all the other state chartered savings and loan associations in Texas, were given authority by the Finance Commission in 1964 to enter the consumer lending field, and Oak Cliff Savings entered it formally in January of 1965. Since that time, or during 1965, we made about 1800 loans in this particular category under the authority and rules promulgated by the Building and Loan Section of the Finance Commission. However, our rules and regulations differ considerably from the small loan rules and regulations and it is my purpose in testifying here today to urge that savings and loan associations be given broader authority in this area, because we are not able to serve a segment of the public that finance companies and other types of lenders are able to serve because of the limitations presently under which we must operate.

Our rules and regulations are fairly restrictive in this matter, and it is our thinking that we could

1 2

3

5

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

John T. Ingle

render a public service to these people that the other companies are serving, particularly our own customers, were we granted a broader authority in this field. This is my purpose in coming here today.

We think that the Savings and Loan Associations that has not entered this consumer lending field would enter it if broader authority was granted to them.

Actually, in Dallas, there are only two associations that are actively in this field at the present time, out of the some twenty odd associations that are here. Over the State there are not more than forty or fifty associations out of the nearly three hundred that are in the State that are operating in the consumer lending field under our present rules and regulations because of the restrictions that are placed upon them.

I will be glad to try to answer any questions that you have.

BY MR. MISKELL

Q Mr. Ingle, who are your borrowers? Are they people also who have accounts outstanding at Oakcliff . Savings?

A Not necessarily. Of course, a good number of them are. These are the people that we have the easist contact with and they are the people that we

John T. Ingle

can solicit business from the easist, so of course we do this. We advertise to the public generally and try to serve the broad spectrum of the public where we can.

Q Do members come in and seek to borrow on an unsolicited basis? What I mean, you may advertise or you may send direct mail to your depositors saying that you do have this service, but do you also get members of the public coming in -- they didn't know that you had the service but they were seeking the credit?

A Yes, sir, they certainly do.

Q Right. What is your average loan to your borrowers?

A The average loan on the type that we make is slightly over a thousand dollars.

Q Slightly over a thousand dollars?

A Yes.

Q Do you find that it is more expensive for your association to make these type loans than, say, your home loans?

A Oh, absolutely. Your servicing costs and the origination costs are much higher in proportion to the dollar amount of the loan than on your home loans.

Q Right. Well, do you feel that if your authority were broadened, if you had a realistic rate, do you feel

1

John T. Ingle

2

that you could offer a broader service to the public?

3

A Without any question.

4

Q All right. Would you be concerned if you had to meet the competition for these loans?

5

A No, sir.

7

Q In other words, if you had to compete with banks or finance companies, or other vendors or people in the field of consumer credit, you are prepared to meet that?

10

9

A Yes, sir.

11

Q Right. If you have a realistic rate which will allow you to operate at a fair profit?

13

A Yes, sir.

14

BY MR. LINDSEY

16 17 Q Mr. Ingle, do you feel that if the Legislature

11

should permit savings and loan associations to charge the same rate that finance companies are now permitted

18

to charge under the Regulatory Act in the truly small

19 20

loan field, do you feel that the savings and loan

21

industry would strongly enter this field in competition

22

with banks and finance companies?

23

A I personally believe that they would, from my discussions with the savings and loan people over

24

25

the State. I really believe that they would enter this

1	John T. Ingle
2	field strongly.
3	Q Well, if the savings and loan associations
4	with their branches got into this field very strongly,
5	would that not tend to bring competition which would
6	hold these rates down below the maximum even, perhaps.
7	A This is always a possibility. Yes.
8	Q And would require all segments to operate
9	more efficiently in order to meet the competition?
10	A That is normally the case. Yes, sir.
11	MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.
12	MR. MISKELL: Thank you very much, Mr. Ingle.
13	A Thank you.
14	
15	MR. MISKELL: I would like to call Mr. John
16	Curran, Vice President of the First National Bank in
17	Dallas.
18	
19	MR. JOHN F. CURRAN, VICE PRESIDENT FIRST NATIONAL BANK
20	DALLAS, TEXAS
	DALLAS, IEAAS
21	was called as a witness and testified as follows: .
21	
	was called as a witness and testified as follows: .
22	was called as a witness and testified as follows: MR. CURRAN: Thank you, Mr. Miskell. I

in charge of the Installment Finance Division of the First National Bank in Dallas, and also Chairman of the Installment Credit Section of the Texas Bankers Association. After World War II I was employed by one of the major sales finance company. In 1950 I joined the Dallas National Bank, which merged in 1954 with the First National Bank in Dallas. Most of my lending experience has been in the field of installment credit.

In response to your recent request, a survey was made last week by the Dallas Clearing House Associtation to determine the volume of consumer lending in Dallas County and the number of borrowers served. Fifty—Three of the sixty-eight banks reporting have a total of 255,985 accounts totalling \$419,433,777 as of August 31, 1966, for an average of \$1,6638.50 per loan outstanding.

I might add at this time that this dollar volume represent 17 per cent overall bank loans in Dallas County.

It is my understanding that Governor Connally
has requested that you gentlemen make a study for the .

need of a comprehensive code for consumer credit operations in our State. This study is of vital significance to the people of Texas who, I am confident, will be the beneficiaries of your efforts.

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

· 24

25

Under such a code, providing among other things, for realistic rates of interest, all lending institutions would be afforded the opportunity to compete for the prospective borrower's credit on a substantially equal basis. The code for this reason and for other reasons, seems to to in the public's best interest.

Far from seeking a legal shield to hide behind, banks merely ask for the opportunity to compete. They have always responded well, with the public being the beneficiary, when the legal rules governing the forces of competition accorded them substantial equal treatment. If banks should be compelled, by high cost factors, to play a diminishing role in this area, the borrowing public would suffer. A reasonable rate would afford safe guard against this contingency and insure continued bank participation onda sound basis at It would also offer proper assurances to the borrowing public that it will have available multiple sources of credit from which it may chose. The competitive atmosphere thus generated and preserved will have a wholesome effect, not only for the public, but for all segments of the lending industry as well.

The automobile finance field is a good example of what competition does to rates. Since 1946,

when commercial banks begin actively to solicit loans on automobiles, the rate trend has moved steadily down-ward. Only recently, in the face of a tight money market and after three increases in the prime rate, have interest rates on automobile loans moved slightly upward. However, in spite of these circumstances, rates in many areas of our State are still below the 1946 level.

In closing, let me urge you to give due consideration to the cost of consumer credit both from the standpoint of the borrower and the lender. Fair rates of interest on consumer loans, where acquisition and liquidation costs are somewhat higher as compared to those on other loans, will allow all legitimate lenders to compete on a substantially equal basis. This will not only increase the sources of consumer credit but, even more important, it should also reduce the dollar costs to the borrower.

I thank you.

BY MR. MISKELL

Q Mr. Curran, may I ask you a few questions in regards to consumer credit?

A Yes, sir.

Q This is a subject that many people talk about

but few people know much about, and that is probably true of the people here on the platform and in the audience, I would imagine, but I recall some years ago I was doing a study of what was called the small loan industry in Texas,, and I learned rather rapidly that the small loan was in the neighborhood of six or seven hundred dollars. Here, you are talking about consumer loans and your average loan is \$1638, I think the figure was given. What is the ceiling on consumer loans? What do you consider consumer loans? How high do they go?

A Well, we make all types of loans, from a hundred dollar personal loan up to five thousand, ten thousand. On college education loans we go up to ten thousand dollars. On airplane financing we go up as high as a hundred thousand dollars on consumer loans, and I am sure that this is true with other banks in the State.

- Q Do you finance these people riding around on Lake Dallas?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q On their sail boats, motor boats, and what not?
 - A Yes, sir, we sure do.

1	John F. Curran
2	Q That gets pretty expensive to somebody.
3	A These cruisers, some of them, are up to
4	\$80,000 and higher.
5	Q You do finance that as part of consumer
6	credit?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q So there is a demand for loans that high?
9	A Right. Yes, sir.
10	Q Your educational loans, do you have much
11	demand there?
12	A The demand is heavy in the Dallas area for
13	education loans.
14	Q Right. And this is, you regard, an integral
15	Q Right. And this is, you regard, an integral part of consumer credit?
15	part of consumer credit?
15 16	part of consumer credit? A It certainly is, and becoming more so every
15 16 17	part of consumer credit? A It certainly is, and becoming more so every day.
15 16 17 18	part of consumer credit? A It certainly is, and becoming more so every day. Q Right. You mentioned, I think, you have
15 16 17 18 19	part of consumer credit? A It certainly is, and becoming more so every day. Q Right. You mentioned, I think, you have 255,000 accounts. This is the banks in Dallas, and in
15 16 17 18 19 20	part of consumer credit? A It certainly is, and becoming more so every day. Q Right. You mentioned, I think, you have 255,000 accounts. This is the banks in Dallas, and in all probability you can speak only for your First
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	part of consumer credit? A It certainly is, and becoming more so every day. Q Right. You mentioned, I think, you have 255,000 accounts. This is the banks in Dallas, and in all probability you can speak only for your First National in Dallas, do you have members of the general.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	part of consumer credit? A It certainly is, and becoming more so every day. Q Right. You mentioned, I think, you have 255,000 accounts. This is the banks in Dallas, and in all probability you can speak only for your First National in Dallas, do you have members of the general. public coming to you that may not be depositors? What

- A Yes, we do.
- Q Do you try to service as many of those accounts? I notice that you have made some hundred dollar loans. Now, we have been studying this for a year, and I think that I could say that you have made that loan at a loss.
 - A Well, --
 - O And is that correct?

A Well, in some cases, that is right. However, we do a lot of advertising for loans outside of our own customers, and a lot of the loans that we make that are under a hundred dollars are what you might say riding piggy bank on another loan that they may have in the bank.

Q I see. Within in your consumer credit loan lending section is really a method by which you can service your depositors in the bank, service all of their credit needs. Is that correct?

A Our depositors in the bank and all other people in Dallas.

Q Right. Well, this is a question that I posed to the people in the savings and loan associations. Do you have any fear of a competive situation if you have to compete with savings and loan associations for

business?

A No. We will welcome the savings and loans to compete against.

Q You are willing to compete with anybody, Right?

A Yes, sir.

Q So long as you have a rate that you can make a fair and equitable, reasonable profit on?

A As long as it is on an equal basis. Yes, sir.

MR. MISKELL: Thank you very much, Mr.

Curran.

I would like to call Professor Bolton from the SMU Legal Aid Clinic.

22 ·

PROFESSOR BOLTON SMU LEGAL AID CLINIC DALLAS, TEXAS

was called as a witness and testified as follows:

PROFESSOR BOLTON: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner, gentlemen. I would like to preface my remarks with the fact that any opinions I express do not reflect any opinions necessarily of SMU but are only my own individual experience, opinions as a Director of the SMU Legal Aid Clinic.

And my comments are based primarily on individual cases that have been processed through our legal clinic and, of course, upon my own experience as an attorney with many years of practice; and in part, perhaps, with my contact with the Dallas Legal Services Project which SMU was largely instrumental in bringing into fruition.

I feel compelled to open my remarks with the observation that the extent to which the indigent have been victimized -- and I mean the indigent and also the uneducated and the illiterate -- have been victimized by economic sharp shooters is a shocking and an appalling thing. Speaking of cases examined in our own Clinic, I don't believe any reasonably fair minded individual can be aware of some of the cases and the practices without having a sense of revulsionnagainst those persons who have perforated them, and without a sense of real distress and concern that the laws of this State have not been effective to eliminate such dire abuses. unrest follows social injustice, and the degree to which the economic security of individuals and families are jeopardized for destroyed. To that same degree we can expect social unrest and the concomitant results. So I believe that a potential results of effective solive

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

legislation in this area goes far beyond the limitations of an economic solution to problems where individuals are concerned. It is a matter of great concern to the entire community.

I don't mean to imply by anything that I say that there is any laxity on the part of the District Attorney's office or the law enforcement people here. I am sure that they are competent, effective, and within the limitations of the law and within the limitations of the situations as they exist. The maximum efforts are being made by them to protect people from being defrauded and to protect people from violations of the law. But just as in the civil courts, the protection afforded individuals from usurious rates of interest, deceptive contract practices and harsh or unjust contract provisions are subject to the limitations of the law so that the people who try to enforce the criminal statutes and the civil protections are also limited.

I want to speak primarily about problems that relate to the indigent, but to a large degree these same problems relate to those who are uneducated or to those who are susceptible to being victimized by other groups.

I would like to talk perhaps of two types of

cases, and I think that they raise in my mind at least some areas of critical need for legislative help and for concern for the community. I would like to recite one incident that occurred in West Dallas in which I was called upon to attend a meeting that had been arranged by one of our students between an aged negro couple that had been persuaded to sign a home repair contract and the salesman who had sold them this contract. This meeting had been arranged because on the previous day he had come hard on the heels, I might add, of the rehabilitation -Urban Rehabilitation and renewal people -- and hard on the heels of the inspectors who had issued violations against the negro home for fire and health hazards. had come upon them and he had persuaded them to sign a contract for home repair, but he had not covered the violations involved. He had sold them a contract for stainless steel siding on their house, explaining to them that this would keep the house cool in the summertime and hot in the winter time and reduce their bills. And he had told them that this would cost them approximately \$2200, but that in fact it would cost them nothing, and he had a rather interesting innovation on the ordinary sales pitch because he proceeded to tell them that although they would sign this paper saying

23 24

25

1

3

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that it was \$2200 and they would sign these other pieces of paper that were necessary in the transaction to make it legal, that he would give them an opportunity, if they would use their house as a model house, to have \$150 paid to them everytime someone to whom they recommended the model house and the construction contract, every time one of these people would sign a similar contract; so in effect they would never have to pay anything on these notes.

This old negro couple's life savings were invested in this meger shack, that was probably altogether was not worth \$2500, they were persuaded to sign a contract for \$2200 for structural steel siding -- for stainless steel siding.

When I was called into the picture and came into the room, where they were seated. I asked to see the documents involved, and I was shown a promissory note and I was shown a amortgage agreement. I looked at the promissory note and I put it aside and said, "This isn't worth anything because it is signed but it has not yet been filled out. So we will just put this aside, because obviously a note that has been signed in blank has no validity." And I was able to do the same thing with the mortgage, and this too had been signed in blank. "So, we

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will put this aside." And then I said, "Let me look at the contract." And so they showed me this document which had some hand written portions in it, which indicated that they would put up this stainless steel siding on the house for this sum of money. There was no indication of specifications, no indication of terms or anything else, and these people had been persuaded to sign that document.

To shorten the story, I might point out that all the usual tricks of salesmanship were used. I looked at the contract and I said to them, "Now, this doesn't say anything about this \$150." He said, "Well, let me show you this additional document," and he pulled out of his brief case a large thing that looked like a diploma and there on a gold background, in very small black print that was almost invisible, was a statement on the bottom line that for everyone of these contracts that was signed, they would get \$150. And there was also on this document a tremendous gold seal. It must have been five inches in diameter and it had not one imprint upon it nor a word upon it. It was just a big beautiful gold seal, and he said to the ladies there, he said, "Now, didn't I show you this document and doesn't it say right here, '\$150'?" I said to him, "Well,

I can hardly see that document." I said, "I can put my glasses on and when I put my glasses on I can barely discern what it says, and I am sure that this woman had some difficulty." Then, he turned to them with a jester of successful rebuttal and he said, as he took off his glasses, "Didn't I lend you my glasses to read that document?"

And this was the epitomy of the type of situation involved. I did manage to persuade them, I might say, to tear up all the documents involved on the theory that there had been no meeting of the minds and obviously there was no dvalid contract, and he was very fortunate perhaps to get off that easily.

But the incidence does, I think, emphasize the critical thing which I am sure has been repeated time and time again before this Body, and that is the tremendous need for consumer education. And I would like, when I sum up, to give some ideas about the areas in which consumer education is necessary.

A similar type of problem that occurs almost as frequently, perhaps in some areas and much more frequently in others than the home repair contract -- and I might add that while I speak of one individual case, we have had several and I understand that the Business

Professor Bolton

Bureau has a tremendous file of these cases.

But an additional case that might merit some comment, is the case that involved a woman who had been paying time and time again a weekly amount of four or five dollars into a small store, a store from which she had received credit for things she had bought from this store previously. Now, here is the note. We are not getting into the consumer credit that runs into the \$1600 level or the bank operating level. We are dealing here with what to the indigent at least is the common and widespread scale of abuse and widescale problem and therefore, to my mind, perhaps a more important function even perhaps than the functions involved in the regulation of the larger loans that are being given to consumers.

The thing that was critical in this case, was that this woman had been paying this money and she had no concept of how much she accurately or actually owed these people. They would give her a slip of paper, and I have one here, for example, a receipt which barely says upon it — and I won't reveal the name of the company or the person, but it says, "Paid on account,\$4.00." She had no way of knowing, and she had no records to keep on how much she actually owed these people. They would just brush her aside and tell her what the situation was.

1

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When we tried to get into the case -- and there were complications in this case which eventually resulted in a criminal prosecution against the client whom we were representing. When we got into the case we tried to obtain the records of this store and their ledgers with relation to this woman's account, and they suddenly They did not have the account and they disappeared. could not find the records. And because of that, the case was able to be settled at a very nominal amount. But this too brings up certain critical areas I think of concern. One is to which extent people are ignorant and illiterate are being victimized by these running open accounts where they do not get proper receipts for their purchases and for the amount of money that they pay, and there are no proper records kept in connection with them.

I could go on with other individual incidences, but I don't want to presume upon the Board's time, but I would like to draw from these incidences some idea that I have that might merit your consideration.

In the field of consumer education, I think
that perhaps that this is the most critical area in which
the community can be assisted. Community education,
consumer education, has to cover not only the overloading of individuals -- that is how much can you actually

pay on things that you are buying and still maintain life and reasonable sense of security for yourself and your family? This is critical for our people who are in the marginal areas and for people who are in the indigent areas.

In addition, there has to be consumer education as to what interest rates are, what they mean and what the different types of interest rates actually result in.

Perhaps as equally significant, the effect of mortgages and the effect of mortgages on homes or on personal property are critical in this area, and this couple that had risked their entire life savings, everything that they had earned in an entire life time, had no concept of what they had signed was a document which, in fact, would jeopardize their home.

One-fourth, and perhaps equally as a critical area of importance in consumer education, is the education as to the availability of lawyers and the availability of other community services and resources to advise people as to economic and legal consequences before they involve themselves in these contracts and in these critical situations.

So I think that any legislation that is forth-

coming and that will be effective must necessarily carry with it a very strong element of consumer education.

I recommend that this be done in the Legislation at a State level. I do not believe that local impetuous is sufficient in various communities to carry out the necessary types of consumer education. I believe the resistance of invested interest and of particular groups may make such a venture unsuccessful in many communities at least unless it is governed and developed in the legislation basically on the statewide level.

I think that legislation must come forth requiring full disclosure of contract provisions where credit is involved. This involves in my opinion disclosure, not only of the cash price that is involved, and not only the disclosure of the actual amount of money that would be involved in buying the same thing on credit, but also independently in connection with that insurance costs and all the other repossession, collection costs, attorney's fees and also the liability for deficiency judgment. This is all part of one phase of it.

In addition, as a second phase, I think that it should definitely require a statement of the percentage of interest on a monthly basis. Without that

there are so many ways in which, by adjusting the period of the loan, the practice becomes so difficult for the ordinary person to understand that they cannot compare the values of one type of loan operation versus another, or one type of credit versus another.

I think also we should have legislation which requires some mandatory provision that would protect people who go into these individual stores to some greater degree than they are now protected, requiring not only that these stores keep ledgers and books of accounts as to the amount that is outstanding, but also that they give receipts which show the balance that is due prior to the payment, the amount of payment received and the balance remaining after the payment is given, so that the person has a contemporaneous receipt which they can carry with them and which can effectively give them some idea of what is actually owing.

I think a third area, an additional area of legs islation if required, a fourth area I believe it is, that there should be some additional legislation with regards to the "holder-in-due-course" situations. In case of this un-filled out promissory note and this un-filled out mortgage, if these had been completed by this company and had been negotiated, these people would in fact

have been in serious jeopardy of losing their home. Just what form should be taken of this type of legislation to limit or to decrease the protection of holders in due course, I think is a matter for some concern and some study. But we should have some limitation of this legal shield which permits the holder in due course to operate in a dark room saying, "I will not see what is actually going on beyond the document and the papers that I have received. There are ways I know, I am confident that the Committee is aware of them, by which some of this can be eliminated.

In that connection, I think finally that there certainly should be some standard required clauses in some of these contracts and certainly there should also be some required exclusions that should be made mandatory in contracts of this nature to give people a greater protection.

This, gentlemen, is the substance of what I would like to present this morning.

MR. MISKELL: Thank you very much, Professor
Bolton. We may have some questions for you if you don't
mind staying there for a minute. I do think that I
can speak for the members of the Committee when I say
that we would like to express our thanks and appreciation,
as an agency of the State, to Southern Methodist University

Professor Bolton

for making you available to us and for the work in this area. I think it carries on an outstanding example of public service rendered to the community and to this State by this great institution.

BY MR. LINDSEY

Q Professor Bolton, I believe in each of the examples which you gave us arose from transactions which are not now regulated by any agency, either State or local. They do not presently come under the Regulatory Loan Act. Is it your opinion that these vendors of merchandise and services who sell on installment contract basis should be brought under the Regulatory Loan Act as the cash lenders are?

A Either brought under that Act or an equivalent type of Act which might take into consideration some of the peculiarities of the business in which they are involved. They certainly should be regulated and perhaps they should be licensed as well.

Q The revolving credit: You mentioned one instance of a small store where the borrower or the customer never really did know what the balance due on the loan was, or the debt. Have you had any similar complaints from the larger merchandising, retail merchandising establishments, such as your large department

22 23

Professor Bolton

stores or Montgomery Wards or Sears, on their form of revolving credit?

A We have not in our clinic, but it must be remembered that our clinic deals primarily with the indigent, and perhaps many of them would not have received this same credit arrangement and be involved in the same problems through such concerns.

BY MR. MISKELL

Q Professor Bolton, how long have you been operating the Legal Aid Clinic at SMU?

A SMU Legal Aid Clinic has been operating for nineteen years, sir.

Q Let me raise this question. Do you, in your opinion, the complaints that come to the Clinic and to these other agencies, are they representative of the depth of the problem in the field of consumer credit?

A Statistically they represent only that area dealing with the indigent and only a sampling of it.

Until we got the Dallas Legal Services Project in operation we had no real broad scale type of area in which we could approach these problems, because these problems don't lend themselves to the kind of generalized statistical treatment we can get from banks or from major institutions. It is only individual complaints

and individual cases, and until we got this Dallas

Legal Services Project and until we developed from it,

as we will, statistics we will have only a limited

knowledge of the scope of this. But I think that we

have a very good sampling, and this gives us a legitimate

basis to project our experience into the Committee problems.

Q In other words, the scope of the problem may be more severe or more widespread than just the number of cases that might be reflected on your records?

A Infinitely greater. We know it is. There is no question about that.

Q I wanted to raise that point.

A Yes.

Q I think the point that Mr. Lindsey has been trying to make, or raise with you --, and I raised it with the other witnesses in regards to complaints with banks and savings and loan associations -- it seems to us from our hearings that we have found that the problems in consumer credit do not revolve, as a general rule -- there are always exceptions -- but they do not revolve around your regulated institutions or your reputable institutions, your reputable vendors of credit.

A Not to the same degree, but we have had instances with one particular bank in which we are

2

,

3

5

6

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Professor Bolton

greatly concerned about the fact that they utilize their power to physically repossess property even when there is no default in installments.

BY MR. LINDSEY

Q I wish that you would expand on that practice.

I would like to hear a little bit about it.

Well, in this particular instance, a bank had issued loans on three trucks to a particular gentleman. He ran into difficulty and because he defaulted on two of the trucks they took those two trucks back. The remaining truck, the installment is not yet due on that remaining truck. The man was having considerable difficulties, and I might add without disclosing his name or that of the bank, that he went to a mental institution for some assistance. The family sought desperately to try to keep this third truck so that when he got out, after his voluntary committment to this institution, he would be able to resume his business and his obligations with his family. While this occurred, the bank proceeded immediately to take possession of this man's truck, even though there was no default, even though they had not attempted to enforce any acceleration clause, and they just physically went and took possession of the truck. They insisted that they

-

Professor Bolton

had a clause within their agreement which permitted them when they had doubts as to the security standing of their debtor that they could come in and take physical possession of the property without recourse to process of law, and this was written into their basic agreement. This they did. And we tried very desperately to get them to release this truck. Unfortunately, it was a purchase money type of situation so we could not enforce the homestead provision, which might have helped us otherwise. And the situation has resulted in a very dire situation for the family. That is the background of that particular incident.

It does concern me that we do have clauses of this nature that permits lending institutions to step in where there has been no actual default upon a concern for the standing of the debtor and repossess the security without going through any formalized process which would give the individual some protection if he could bring forth some reasonable assurance that he could meet the obligation, particularily on this particular problem.

Q Well, many times, you know, we have an old saying that, "bad facts make bad law".

A Yes.

4 5

Professor Bolton

Q The example that you have given is one where the distress is very evident.

A Yes.

Q But isn't it a fact that throughout the financial community where a borrower has more than one loan in a given institution, that the practice of cross collateralizing is followed? For instance, if General Motors borrows 50 million dollars from Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, and then they come back and borrow and additional 25 million dollars on something else, don't you find that you have cross collateralization on those two loans just as you do on the three trucks?

A Yes, you do. Yes.

MR. LINDSEY: I don't believe I have any other questions. Thank you.

MR. MISKELL: Thank you very much, Professor Bolton. We appreciate it.

I would like to call Mr. "Ned" Fritz, a practicing attorney here in Dallas.

MR. EDWARD C. (NED) FRITZ ATTORNEY OF LAW DALLAS, TEXAS

MR. FRITZ: Gentlemen, I am Edward C. Fritz.

I have been practicing law in the consumer credit field on behalf of consumers for more than fifteen years now.

I am temporary chairman of the Texas Consumer Committee, which is putting on the Texas Consumer Conference on September 29 at Southern Methodist University, and at that conference will be many outstanding leaders in the state and in the nation in the consumer credit field, and also in the other phases of consumer protection, but I do not speak today on behalf of the Texas Consumer Committee, but merely as a private practitioner.

I am also a member of the Committee to

Prevent Unreasonable Interest Rates, of which Professor

Harvey Davis is chairman, and he is planning on being

here this afternoon to make a presentation on behalf

of that committee.

In my opinion, the primary problem in consumer credit is high interest rates. It causes most of the other types of problems that you have heard about. In the first place, there are some that haven't been mentioned. High interest rates reduce purchasing power. The more that a person pays out for interest, the less that he has to pay out for the principal, for the

1 2

commodity or for whatever the purpose of the expenditure In the second place, high interest rates' cause overborrowing because the more heavily indebted a debtor becomes by not being able to pay the high interest rates, the more likely he is to go to other creditors and get more money and get himself into a "borrowing Peter to pay Paul" syndrome or into an over borrowing syndrome of various types. And, in the third place, high interest rates in the consumer field are inflationary because in the consumer credit field it is well known that free private competition is not It does not take place—it does not lower effective. interest rates. Wherever interest rates are regulatedand they are all regulated in Texas, if not by special statute then ultimately by the general usury statutebut wherever they are regulated by special statute they are virtually always running at the maximum rates. Virtually, all lenders on all their loans make them at the maximum rates fixed by law in the field of the Regulatory Loan Act and in the field of the Credit Union Maximum Rate.

Now then, let's take first the unregulated field; that is, the field not regulated by the Regulatory Loan Act. There are numerous violations of the

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ten per cent maximum. The time-price devices and notorious method by which a certain class of lenders charge more than ten per cent per annum, calling it a "time-price." Banks very frequently charge more than ten per cent per annum in their consumer loans, in the smaller loans, and it is amazing to me that nobody has told you about that. We get cases regularly against the banks in which they are charging a purported ten per cent, but they don't amortize it when they tell the borrowers ten per cent and it actually comes to 19.4 per cent on a one year loan on monthly installments. Or a six per cent loan where it actually comestto 11.6 per cent, let us say, and so-forth. the banks are and I think a great many of the Dallas banks—we have had specific complaints, if not all of them, are violating the law on the maximum interest rates.

Now then, on renewals, the effect of any type of a violation is extremely aggravating because then the borrower is paying usurious interest upon usurious interest, and it compounds the rate and it slides right on up. The more renewals are made—and the renewal practice is quite heavy among lenders in Texas—inducing the borrowers to stay on a loan chain

1

2

3

4

5

0

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Fritz

as long as they can keep him on this series of loans, which is very hard upon the borrower ordinarily. The higher the rate becomes the more he is bled.

Now, in the regulated field, the Act itself allows exorbitant rates and should and must be corrected. In the first place, Section 17(B) of the Act provides for rates that range as high as 320 per cent per year. I can give you the documentation on that if you need it. But I left it at my office. I think almost everybody that has ever figured it knows this is true, because I thought I was going to speak at 2:00 and I was going to bring my documentation then.

Another section of the Regulatory Loan Act that permits exorbitant rates is Sub-section 6 of Section 17(A) which allows the lender to make a small refund based on the Rule of 78, based on a pro rata refund when a renewal is made, and as a result of this our experience is that the lenders under the Regulatory Loan Act are virtually all keeping the borrower going from renewal to renewal as long as they can possibly keep him on the hook. And his rate pyramids the longer this goes on.

Now then, the other section of the Act under which exorbitant rates are obtained is the Insurance

U

Fritz

Section, which is Section 17(A), relating to credit insurance, and Section 18(B), relating to property insurance, and insurance under this Act in spite of the limited limitations upon it is frequently loaded.

And this is a result, as I shall go into more detail later, of the fact that the lender is able to make money out of the insurance sale. And so the more he loads the insurance on there the more money he makes out of it, in spite of the rate that would seem to be what is the maximum rate fixed by 17(A).

Now, regulation is not worth the cost of regulation if it does not protect the consumer against exorbitant interest rates. And as long as we have these provisions that permit exorbitant interest rates, especially up to 320 per cent which is about as high as anybody was charging before the Regulatory Loan Act went into effect, we don't have adequate protection for the consumer.

Now, this 320 per cent division, as I guess everybody here knows, applies to only loans of one hundred dollars and less. But this is the field where the ignorant and indigent borrower is most likely to be sucked in and to be bled dry and to be weakened and harmed by exorbitant interest rates. And so it should

be eliminated, Section 17 (B) from the Act, and the only rate structure should be one that applies to all on a graduated basis. Section 17 (A) has the graduated basis, and another provision for loans of \$100 and less, for small loans, which is as high as any average borrower can stand and should be the only maximum allowed; there should be no special privilege to those who insist upon indulging upon the poor or the ignorant or the necessitous.

Now, in both the regulated and the unregulated field, the lack of comparative rate disclosures is one of the reasons that we have excessively high interest rates. There is no required disclosure of the rate of charge in the Regulatory Loan Act. There was one and in Conference Committee it was obliterated by making it optional and nobody follows that option. They all follow the other option of just saying what the price is, what the amounts are and the payments and so-forth. But they don't tell you the rate. In the unregulated field there is no legal provision whatsoever regarding disclosure of the rate.

Now, this is another reason that in the small loan field there is no comptition in the regulated loan field at all with possibly on flier exception that

I heard of once by one regulated loan company as a "come on" loan, but virtually all loans are at the maximum rates. There is no substantial price competition.

Now, how would the rate be disclosed best? There has been a tremendous amount of study of this subject for years because of the proposal in the United States Congress of the "Truth in Lending" Bill, which suggests a per cent per year basis, with a special provision as to revolving credit, which is difficult to state in per cent per year. And there has been a great deal of amount of study in the efforts of the National Commissioners on Uniform State Laws to prepare a Consumer Credit Code, a first draft of which has been prepared and is being further considered after discussion thereof at Montreal in August. And it, likewise, has in some instances the per cent per year disclosure, but in other instances it has the dollars per hundred disclosure at this stage, which may well be changed by the time the next draft is made late in November.

The per cent per year disclosure is preferable because it is simpler and easier to use, and if we are going to have competition in this field we are going to have to have the rates set out to where somebody can know

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and be easily trained of what is the difference between this rate and that rate and per cent per year is the easiest way to tell that difference, and to get an adequate comparison to all types of loans.

You learn per cent per year in grade school, how to compute it.

The bank accounts are paid on the basis of per cent per year; savings accounts. Likewise, savings and loan savings accounts. The home mortgages are computed on the basis of per cent per year, generally.

The credit union loans are computed on a per cent per year. A vast number of Americans know about per cent per year and can compare, if this loan is eighteen per cent per year and that loan is sixteen per cent per year, they can compare it; and it means something to them, and it has a standard basis of reference to the other types of loans still available, like the mortgage loans and so-forth. And we think that the per cent per year is the way to have the rate disclosure.

But in any event, rate disclosure is absolutely essential if we are going to bring down interest rates.

We have heard some testimony this morning about competition. Other people want to get into this field of

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Fritz

making consumer loans so that they can provide lower 2 interest rates to the people who borrow in this field. 3 But I am very much afraid that if we don't have comparative rate disclosure on the per cent per annum 5 basis preferably, that everybody will lend at the maximum rates. If the banks come in they will lend at the maximum rates. We won't actually have, the 8 rate commission, we won't actually have rates being brought down and we will have continued high interest 10 11 rates, with all the evils, unless going with it is comparative rate disclosure.

> Now, the poor people do not always know how to compute per cent per annum or even know what the rate of dollars per annum is or anything like that. But they can be taught to compare simple figures, like here is a loan at eighteen per cent and here is a loan at twenty per cent per annum. They can be taught to compare that, and this will bring into effect competition if this type of a simple comparative rate disclosure is required. However, just putting it into law will not do the job, in my opinion. There will have to be education with it. I said they can be taught to compare. Neither the poor people nor the ignorant or even the well-educated presently are well-trained in shopping

24

for credit. Pamphlets and manuals won't train them, either. They are good for the educators who will train them, but it's going to take actual training courses to teach people of all levels who desire to participate how to insist upon what the rate is and how to insist upon comparing with more than one place. They don't just go into one place and get signed up; but how to obtain comparative rates, and then how to take the lower rate so this will have the effect of bringing prices down, interest rates down.

Now, these courses must be paid for, of course, by the State. There is no private agency that is now giving such adequate courses, and I think that one of the best ways to conduct this educational program, which several of the speakers said is necessary, is by the Office of Consumer Counsel. I think that the State should have an independent consumer counsel who will look after the interests of the consumer, including the educational field, and also who will receive the complaints of failure adequately to disclose, Or, of excessive charges wherever they are made illegal by the amendments to the law which I hopethat this group will come up with.

Now then, another way to attack this problem of high interest rates is, however, by providing adequate

LO

G

courses of loans. If every class of lender were making consumer loans and you did have this disclosure effectively in practice, then we would hope that free private enterprise in the United States would take effect in in this field as it has so successfully in most of the fields of our economy, but never has yet in this field. And so the way to get all the lenders in is by opening this field up to where the banks can make consumer loans all the way; to where savings and loan associations can make consumer loans all the way, and of course, every agency which is restricted from making adequate consumer loans should be encouraged to do so. This would apply to the sales finance deal as will; all consumer credit.

I am a member of the Advisory Committee of the Consumer Credit Project of the National Commissioners on Uniform State Laws, and in the first draft it has made the approach of opening up the field to all classes of lenders, along with rate disclosure, so perhaps we can get competition and can protect the borrower from these exorbitant interest rates that cause most of the problems that you have heard about.

Now then, one of the problems that has been mentioned here; that is, a secondary result, a secondary

problem, really, is harrassment. And I say it is secondary because ordinarily in our experience harrassment and has not been done by a person with a legitimate loan, or a non-usurious rate loan, and if somebody is decent about his interest rates he seems to be decent about how he collects them. And somebody who is indecent about interest rates, whether they are lawful or unlawful, then he seems to be indecent about how he collects them. This doesn't mean all the time. Sometimes they don't harrass even if they are charging high interest rates. But whenever the harrassment takes place in our experience it has nearly always been by somebody charging high interest rates.

So I think the harrassment would be vastly improved, that is reduced, let's say, by bringing in these other reforms that will help to lower the interest rates.

The number two primary problem that I see in the consumer credit field is tied in insurance.

This is where the lender, or the owner of the lender, somehow obtains a profit out of the insurance that is sold with the loan. The ownership is in—well, this is done in many ways. Some of them, briefly, is where the same holding company owns the lending company and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

also owns the insurance company. It is also done where there is not common ownership, but it is group insurance and the lender gets back dividends.

It is also done where there is a loss ratio rebate. The lower the losses, why, the more rebate the lender gets. The pre-primary evils of this are, first, if the lender makes a profit from the insurance he tends to pile on the insurance to make more of a profit, unnecessarily.

And secondly, if the same people are going to lose-I mean, let's put it this way: If when a claim is made, well, it comes out of another profit or reduces the profit to the lender in some way, it is as if the common ownership, for example, if I have my insurance in my left pocket and my loan business in my right pocket and my borrower has an auto wreck, let's say, and I have insured it, why, then, if I refuse to pay him out of my left pocket, I still have that money in my left pocket. He may pay that indebtedness anyway, so I try to cut down on how I pay my claims in the hope that I will get some more out of the borrower. Whereas, if I pay it to him it is gone from me. I know my security is a little better but there are a great many companies now operating on auto loans where it seems

that they would rather have the money than the security, then to be sure the security is adequately repaired.

After all, he's going to have to repair the security if he is going to have to drive it off, and so-forth, and pay for it himself in some way. So it cuts down upon the payments, and we have seen many instances where that was true.

And then, also, a third evil of this, when there is a wreck there is a tendency to delay payment of the claim, or in some instances to refuse payment of the claim. So that the lender can foreclose on the car and get a car, especially if it has been paid quite a ways along or if it is a pretty good automobile at the beginning and the damages are not too great. Well, if they can just hold up paying the insurance the borrower will not be on time in his payments to them and he will be perhaps not able to get to and from work or he will be around trying to get money to pay for his car repairs and won't be able to pay them and then, they will be able to foreclose.

Now then, the number three primary problem, I think, in this field, is under the general category of fraud, and you have heard some instances of fraud; and we have seen most of the kinds of fraud that can

come.

Now, the common law, theoretically provides for damages for fraud. The reason that this type of protection is not adequate, and there should be additional legislation in the consumer credit code about this, is that the cases are often small, and the relief inadequate for the time and effort involved. If we are really going to try to attack the consumer credit problem, a vast proportion of the loans are too small to allow the ordinary fraud remedies to take place. And, of course, I mean they are primarily loans on commodities; either whether made through the sales finance method or whether direct cash loans in order to purchase the commodity.

Now, we need a class C provision in a statute whereby either the consumer counsel or private counsel, if they get several of these cases, can bring them all at once and be assured that they won't be divided up all into a bunch of separate cases. We need other provisions to ease the procedure for private counsel to handle these small matters, and among them is additional attorney fees in consumer fraud cases, just as in the Regulatory Loan Act, a provision was made which was helpful and remedial to allow attorney fees