

Factors Associated With Achieving Complete Mental Health Among Individuals With Lifetime Suicide Ideation

2,3 PHILIP BAIDEN, XXX, AND ESME FULLER-THOMSON, XXX

The objective of this study was to identify factors associated with complete mental health among Canadians who had ever seriously considered suicide. Data for this study were obtained from Statistics Canada's 2012 Canadian Community Health Survey—Mental Health ($n = 2,844$). The outcome variable examined in this study was complete mental health and was analyzed using binary logistic regression. Of the 2,844 respondents with lifetime suicide ideation, 1,088 (38.2%) had complete mental health (i.e., had flourishing mental health, no mental illness, and no suicide ideation in the past 12 months). Those who had a confidant were seven times more likely to have complete mental health. Other factors associated with achieving complete mental health among formerly suicidal respondents include being older, being a woman, having higher income, use of religious coping, and never previously having a mental illness. Considering the importance of these protective factors in formulating public health policies will allow for a more wide-reaching approach to suicide prevention.

The World Health Organization (WHO) has estimated that globally, over 800,000 people committed suicide in 2012 (WHO, 2014). Suicide has been identified as one of the leading causes of death among Canadians and Americans (Burrows, Auger, Roy, & Alix, 2010; Miller, Azrael, Hepburn, Hemenway, & Lippmann, 2006; Vogel, 2011). The extant literature has identified a number of risk factors associated with suicide. Two of the strongest predictors of future death by suicide are prior suicide attempt and suicide ideation (Blackmore et al., 2008; Muehlenkamp, 2014; Muehlenkamp & Gutierrez, 2007; Nock, Joiner, Gordon, Lloyd-Richardson, & Prinstein,

2006; WHO, 2014). A large prospective US study that followed patients between 2009 and 2011 concluded that those who had ever seriously considered suicide at baseline were four times more likely to have engaged in suicidal behaviors during the study period (Mundt et al., 2013).

Additional risk factors known to be associated with suicide include age, with older individuals more likely to engage in suicidal behaviors (WHO, 2014) and gender, with women more likely to attempt and men more likely to complete suicide (Schrijvers, Bollen, & Sabbe, 2012). With respect to the effect of income and education on suicide ideation, numerous studies have observed an inverse relationship between income and suicide ideation (e.g., Borges et al., 2006; Cohen et al., 2010; Lemstra et al., 2009; Pan, Stewart, & Chang, 2013), whereas the relationship between education and suicide-related behaviors remains inconclusive. For

PHILIP BAIDEN and ESME FULLER-THOMSON, Factor-Inwentash Faculty of Social Work, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada.

Address correspondence to Philip Baiden, Factor-Inwentash Faculty of Social Work, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; E-mail: philip.baiden@mail.utoronto.ca

S L T B		12230	WILEY	Dispatch: 29.12.15	CE: Shajitha
Journal Code		Manuscript No.		No. of pages: 20	PE: Pavithra
					

1 instance, Cohen et al. (2010) followed over
2 500 participants aged 65 years and older
3 from Rochester, New York, and found no
4 significant association between education
5 and suicide ideation. Other studies (e.g.,
6 Borges et al., 2006; Pan et al., 2013) have
7 also found similar results. However, Lem-
8 stra et al. (2009) found significant associa-
9 tion between education and suicide ideation
10 with respondents with lower education
11 more likely to exhibit suicide ideation.

12 Past studies have also found night-
13 mares and sleep-related problems are
14 related to suicide ideation (Malik et al.,
15 2014; Nadorff, Nazem, & Fiske, 2013;
16 Pigeon, Pinquart, & Conner, 2012). The
17 extant literature has also established a rela-
18 tionship between chronic pain (see e.g.,
19 Bender, Gordon, Bresin, & Joiner, 2011;
20 Fuller-Thomson, Hamelin, & Granger,
21 2013; Joiner, 2005) and functional limita-
22 tions (see e.g., Rowe, Bruce, & Conwell,
23 2006) and suicide-related behaviors. More-
24 over, mental health disorders such as major
25 depression, anxiety, posttraumatic stress,
26 and substance-related disorder (Blackmore
27 et al., 2008; Clifford, Doran, & Tsey, 2013;
28 Cogle, Resnick, & Kilpatrick, 2009; Joiner
29 et al., 2007; Panagioti, Gooding, & Tarrier,
30 2012; Sareen, Houlahan, Cox, & Asmund-
31 son, 2005) as well as adverse childhood
32 experience, particularly sexual abuse (Klon-
33 sky & Moyer, 2008; Rosenberg et al., 2005)
34 have all been found to increase the risk of
35 future death from suicide.

36 However, some studies also show that
37 not all individuals who engaged in suicide
38 ideation exhibit suicidal behaviors later in
39 life (Malone et al., 2000; Roy, Sarchiapone,
40 & Carli, 2007). Thus, it is possible that
41 there may be protective factors that reduce
42 the risk of an individual with suicide ide-
43 ation from attempting suicide (Kleiman, Ris-
44 kind, & Schaefer, 2014). Such protective
45 factors may include individual characteris-
46 tics such as marital status, education, and
47 income as well as social characteristics
48 such as religion and social support (Hovey,
49 Hurtado, Morales, & Seligman, 2014; Koe-
50 nig, King, & Carson, 2012; Myers, 2012).

Recovery from suicide ideation, which is
defined as complete absence of suicidal
thoughts and actions, warrants additional
investigation. However, we further propose
that focusing solely on recovery from sui-
cide ideation, as a marker of mental health,
is insufficient.

A key question for health practition-
ers, researchers, suicidal individuals, and
their loved ones is to identify factors that
predict remission from suicide ideation and
also predict complete mental health. From
a public health perspective, identifying these
factors could help in the design of policies
and treatment interventions relating to sui-
cide prevention. Thus, using a large
national data set, this study sought to exam-
ine factors associated with complete mental
health among Canadians who had previ-
ously considered taking their own life.

THE CONCEPT OF COMPLETE MENTAL HEALTH

Keyes (2002) identifies mental health
and mental illness as two separate but
related latent constructs. The absence of
mental illness does not guarantee the pres-
ence of mental health or vice versa (Keyes,
2002, 2007, 2009; Lamers, Westerhof,
Bohlmeijer, ten Klooster, & Keyes, 2011).
Keyes (2002) proposes that “flourishing
mental health” is composed of three ele-
ments: (1) happiness and/or life satisfaction,
(2) psychological well-being (e.g., one’s life
is meaningful and has a sense of direction),
and (3) social well-being (e.g., having warm
and supportive relationships with others).
The concept of complete mental health
thus refers to having flourishing mental
health and being free of suicide ideation
and of mental illness such as anxiety,
depression, bipolar disorder, and addictions
(Gilmour, 2014; Keyes, 2003, 2005, 2007).

Various studies have found well-
being and complete mental health to be
important factors in monitoring health-
related quality of life (Howell & Buro,
2015; Kahneman & Krueger, 2006; Keyes,

2002, 2007, 2009; Keyes & Simoes, 2012; Lamers et al., 2011). A comprehensive meta-analysis of 225 studies comprising over 275,000 participants found that well-being precedes various successful life outcomes. Individuals with better well-being are more likely to be healthier both physically and mentally, have higher job satisfaction, and have satisfying romantic relationships (Lyubomirsky, King, & Diener, 2005). Also, a review on the relationship between positive mental health and physical health found that, controlling for objective markers of disease, individuals with high levels of positive mental health reported fewer physical health symptoms (Pressman & Cohen, 2005). The authors also found a direct positive relationship between positive mental health and life expectancy suggesting that as positive mental health increases, so does life expectancy (Pressman & Cohen, 2005). Another study investigated the relationship between flourishing mental health and suicidal behaviors among college students and found that suicidal behaviors were lowest among those with flourishing mental health (Keyes et al., 2012). This study builds on past research by examining factors associated with complete mental health among Canadians who had ever seriously considered suicide.

METHODS

Data Set

Data for this study were obtained from Statistics Canada's 2012 Canadian Community Health Survey-Mental Health (CCHS-MH) public use microdata files (PUMF). The CCHS-MH is a cross-sectional survey that gathers information on factors that influence mental health through a multidisciplinary approach focusing on social and economic determinants of health (Statistics Canada, 2013). This population-based survey covers individuals, aged 15 and above, living in the 10 provinces in

Canada. Residents of the three territories, individuals living on reserves or other Aboriginal settlements, full-time members of the Canadian Forces, and institutionalized population are excluded from the survey's coverage area. Together, this exclusion constitutes less than 3% of the target population (Statistics Canada, 2013). The CCHS-MH uses a multistage cluster sampling design with a random sampling method to select a sample that is representative of the Canadian population (Statistics Canada, 2013). There were 25,113 (unweighted) respondents representing 28,314,716 Canadians in the 2012 CCHS-MH data set.

Two samples were used in this study. First, we established the association between lifetime suicide ideation and complete mental health, net the effect of sociodemographic and other key predictors on 21,270 respondents aged 20 and over with complete data on all the characteristics under investigation. Subsequent analyses sought to examine complete mental health among respondents with lifetime suicide ideation. Lifetime suicide ideation classifies the respondent based on whether he/she ever thought about committing suicide or taking his/her own life. Of the 21,270 respondents, 2,844 ever thought about committing suicide or taking their own life and therefore constitute the second sample for analysis.

A normalized sampling weight was created by dividing each respondent's raw weight value by the mean weight for the sample, in a commonly accepted practice that has been recommended by other scholars (see e.g., Hahs-Vaughn, 2005; Thomas & Heck, 2001). This was performed so as to maintain the original sample's size while at the same time keeping the weighting structure recommended by Statistics Canada. The normalized weight was used in all the analyses.

Variables

Outcome Variable. The outcome variable examined in this study was complete

1 mental health and was measured as a binary
2 variable based on the absence of suicide
3 ideation and of mental illness, and the pres-
4 ence of flourishing mental health as
5 measured by the Mental Health Continuum—
6 Short Form (MHC-SF) (Keyes, 2009). The
7 MHC-SF is a 14-item standardized instru-
8 ment measuring three dimensions of
9 positive mental health, namely emotional
10 well-being (e.g., during the past month,
11 how often did you feel: happy; satisfied with
12 your own life; and interested in life?), social
13 well-being (e.g., during the past month,
14 how often did you feel that you had some-
15 thing important to contribute to society?),
16 and psychological well-being (e.g., during
17 the past month, how often did you feel that
18 you liked most parts of your personality?)
19 (Keyes, 2009; Keyes et al., 2012). Psycho-
20 metric properties of the MHC-SF have
21 been well established (Keyes, 2007; Lamers
22 et al., 2011; Robitschek & Keyes, 2009),
23 and the MHC-SF has been used in studying
24 suicidal behaviors (Keyes et al., 2012). In
25 this study, the MHC-SF was slightly modi-
26 fied by taking out “interested in life.” Thus,
27 individuals who experienced at least 1 of
28 the 2 measures of emotional well-being and
29 at least 6 of the 11 measures of psychologi-
30 cal and social well-being “every day” or “al-
31 most every day” during the past month
32 were considered as having flourishing men-
33 tal health. In this study, internal consistency
34 (Cronbach’s α) for the 13-items was $\alpha =$
35 .89. For the purposes of this study, respon-
36 dents were considered to have complete
37 mental health if they were flourishing and
38 were free of suicide ideation and the follow-
39 ing mental illness within the past
40 12 months: bipolar disorder, major depres-
41 sive episode, general anxiety disorder, alco-
42 hol dependence, cannabis dependence,
43 other drug dependence. These mental ill-
44 ness and substance dependence variables
45 were determined based on the rigorous
46 WHO version of the Composite Interna-
47 tional Diagnostic Interview (WHO-CIDI),
48 a structured diagnostic interview that gener-
49 ates diagnosis according to the Diagnostic
50 and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders,

Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) and the Interna-
tional Classification of Disease (ICD-10)
(Statistics Canada, 2013). Suicide ideation
was defined based on the question in the
past 12 months: “Have you seriously
thought about committing suicide or taking
your own life?” For a detailed description
of these measures, we refer the reader to
2012 CCHS-MH User Guide Microdata
Files (Statistics Canada, 2013).

Explanatory Variables. Explanatory
variables examined include demographic
factors such as age, gender, and race.
Socioeconomic factors examined include
postsecondary graduate (no versus yes) and
income quintile: poor (bottom 10%), low-
middle (10% to 50%), upper-middle (50%
to 90%), and rich (top 10%). Social support
factors examined include marital status and
respondents who answered “strongly agree”
or “agree” (versus “disagree” or “strongly
disagree”) to the question “I have close
relationships that provide me with a sense
of emotional security and well-being” were
considered as having a confidant.

The following physical health, mental
illness, and substance dependence factors
were examined: chronic pain, trouble sleep-
ing, functional limitations, as well as life-
time diagnosis of bipolar disorder, major
depressive episode, general anxiety disorder,
alcohol dependence, cannabis dependence,
and other drug dependence. Chronic pain
refers to the degree of pain that is usually
felt by the respondents and the extent to
which such pain prevents the respondent
from performing certain daily activities. It
was coded as pain prevents some or many
daily activities = 0, ~~versus~~ pain prevents
none or few daily activities = 1, ~~versus~~ no
pain = 2.

Survey respondents were also asked
how often they have trouble going to sleep
or staying asleep. Respondents who indi-
cated having trouble sleeping “most of the
time” and “all of the time” were coded as 0
versus respondents who indicated “none of
the time,” “a little of the time,” and “some
of the time” who were coded as 1. Func-
tional limitations was assessed by asking

1 respondents “In the last 30 days, how much
2 difficulty did you have in taking care of
3 your household responsibilities?” and was
4 coded as a binary variable (none/mild versus
5 moderate/severe). Each of the lifetime men-
6 tal illness and substance dependence diag-
7 noses was also coded as a binary variable
8 based on the WHO standardized measure.
9 For a detailed description, see 2012 CCHS-
10 MH User Guide Microdata Files (Statistics
11 Canada, 2013).

12 Religious coping strategy was
13 assessed based on the question “To what
14 extent do your religious or spiritual beliefs
15 give you the strength to face everyday diffi-
16 culties?” and categorized as “a little,”
17 “somewhat,” and “a lot” versus “not at all.”

18 Lastly, adverse childhood experiences
19 were measured using six questions that
20 asked respondents about things that may
21 have happened to them before they turned
22 16 either in their school, neighborhood, or
23 family (i.e., exposure to parental domestic
24 violence; hit, spanked, or slapped; pushed,
25 grabbed, or shoved; physical attack; forced
26 or unwanted sexual activity; and unwanted
27 touching, grabbing, kissing, or fondling).
28 This variable was coded 0, 1, 2, and ≥ 3 .
29 The decision to treat some of the ordinal
30 variables as dichotomous variables ~~to a~~
31 ~~greater extent~~ was informed by cell size
32 consideration.

33 *Statistical Analyses*

34 Data were analyzed using Pearson
35 chi-square and binary logistic regression.
36 The association between lifetime suicide
37 ideation and complete mental health in the
38 entire sample was first examined using two
39 binary logistic regressions with complete
40 mental health as the outcome and lifetime
41 suicide ideation as the key explanatory vari-
42 able. Lifetime suicide ideation, age, gender,
43 and race were entered in Block 1 and all
44 the other predictors, which the literature,
45 discussed above, had suggested might be
46 linked to both suicidal history and complete
47 mental health, were entered in Block 2.
48 This was followed by bivariate analyses

among respondents with lifetime suicide
ideation to indicate the percentage of
respondents with complete mental health by
particular characteristic (e.g., gender, mari-
tal status). Pearson chi-square tests were
used to determine statistical significance.
Statistical significance of these chi-square
tests should be interpreted with caution due
to the large sample size and concomitant
substantial statistical power of the analyses.

Lastly, we conducted a series of bin-
ary logistic regression analyses, based on
the extant literature, to identify the predic-
tors of complete mental health among
respondents with lifetime suicide ideation
and to determine the relative explanatory
value of each model. Nine logistic regres-
sion models were conducted with age, gen-
der, and race entered in Model 1 and
subsequent models. Socioeconomic vari-
ables, social support, health, lifetime mental
illness diagnoses, substance dependence
diagnoses, coping, and adverse childhood
experiences were entered in Models 2
through 8, respectively. Model 9 consists of
all the explanatory variables discussed
above. Model fitness was assessed based on
the Nagelkerke pseudo R square and the
omnibus chi-square value. Adjusted odds
ratios are reported together with their 95%
confidence intervals (95% C.I.) and vari-
ables were considered significant if $p < .05$.
All statistical analyses were conducted using
SPSS version 22 for Windows (SPSS Inc.,
Chicago, IL, USA).

49 **RESULTS**

50 The proportion of respondents with
lifetime suicide ideation who had complete
mental health was lower (38.2%) than the
proportion of respondents with no lifetime
suicide ideation who had complete mental
health (77.0%) ($\chi^2(1) = 1629.61$ $p < .001$).
Results in Table 1 indicate that respondents
with no lifetime suicide ideation had more
than five times the odds of having complete
mental health when compared to respon-
dents with lifetime suicide ideation

TABLE 1
Multivariate Logistic Regression Predicting Complete Mental Health (Entire Sample, N = 21,270)

Variables	AOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Suicide ideation: lifetime (Yes)		
No	5.32 (4.87–5.82)***	2.31 (2.08–2.56)***
Demographic variables		
Age (20 to 30 years)		
30 to 39 years	1.29 (1.17–1.43)***	1.19 (1.06–1.35)**
40 to 49 years	1.29 (1.16–1.42)***	1.29 (1.14–1.46)***
50 to 59 years	1.40 (1.27–1.55)***	1.34 (1.18–1.52)***
60 years and above	1.56 (1.42–1.72)***	1.51 (1.32–1.71)***
Gender (Male)		
Female	1.05 (0.99–1.12)	1.12 (1.04–1.20)**
Race (non-White)		
White	1.07 (0.99–1.16)	1.15 (1.05–1.25)**
Socioeconomic status		
Postsecondary graduate (No)		
Yes		0.99 (0.93–1.08)
Income (Poor)		
Lower-middle income		1.20 (1.06–1.37)**
Upper-middle income		1.37 (1.21–1.55)***
Rich		1.72 (1.46–2.02)***
Social Support		
Marital status (Single/never married)		
Married		1.34 (1.21–1.49)***
Common-law		1.24 (1.10–1.40)***
Formerly married		1.17 (1.02–1.33)*
Has a confidant (No)		
Yes		6.24 (5.05–7.70)***
Health		
Functional limitations (Yes)		
No		1.98 (1.74–2.26)***
Chronic pain (Pain prevents some or many activities)		
Pain prevents none or a few activities		1.08 (0.93–1.25)
No pain		1.34 (1.18–1.53)***
Trouble sleeping (Yes)		
No		1.46 (1.32–1.60)***
Mental health		
Major depressive episode (Ever diagnosed)		
Not diagnosed		2.56 (2.31–2.85)***
General anxiety disorder (Ever diagnosed)		
Not diagnosed		2.08 (1.84–2.34)***
Bipolar disorder (Ever diagnosed)		
Not diagnosed		2.80 (2.21–3.54)***
Substance use		
Alcohol dependence (Ever diagnosed)		
Not diagnosed		1.92 (1.58–2.32)***
Cannabis dependence (Ever diagnosed)		
Not diagnosed		1.14 (0.87–1.50)
Other drugs dependence (Ever diagnosed)		
Not diagnosed		1.29 (0.99–1.68)

(continued)

TABLE 1
(continued)

Variables	AOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Coping		
Religious coping (No)		
Yes		1.33 (1.23–1.43)***
Adverse childhood Experiences		
Adverse childhood Experiences (Three or more)		
Two		0.90 (0.79–1.02)
One		1.11 (0.99–1.24)
None		1.54 (1.39–1.70)***
Nagelkerke R Square	.101	.243
Change in Nagelkerke R Square		.142
Block chi-square (sig)	1543.26 (.001)	2369.58 (.001)

AOR, adjusted odds ratio.

Reference categories are identified in brackets.

* $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$.

(OR = 5.32, 95% CI = 4.87–5.82), net the effect of age, gender, and race. This effect was partially attenuated with the addition of other predictors in Model 2. Controlling for all other 19 factors, respondents with no lifetime suicide ideation were 2.31 times more likely to have complete mental health when compared to respondents with lifetime suicide ideation (95% CI = 2.08–2.56).

Sample Characteristics of Respondents With Lifetime Suicide Ideation

Of the 2,844 respondents with lifetime suicide ideation, 1,088 (38.2%) had complete mental health (i.e., have flourishing mental health, no mental illness, and no suicide ideation in the past 12 months). More than half of the respondents (56%) were women. A significant bivariate association was found between complete mental health and most of the explanatory variables with the exception of education and lifetime diagnosis of cannabis dependence (see Table 2). Respondents were more likely to have complete mental health if they were older, women, White, rich, married, and/or had a confidant. The proportion of respondents with complete mental health was greater among those who had no functional

limitations, no chronic pain, no trouble sleeping, as well as no lifetime diagnosis of major depressive episode, general anxiety disorder, bipolar disorder, alcohol dependence, or other drug dependence. Also, respondents who used religious coping strategies in facing everyday difficulties or experienced no adverse childhood experiences were more likely to have complete mental health.

Multivariate Logistic Regression Predicting Complete Mental Health Among Respondents With Lifetime Suicide Ideation

All demographic factors except race were significantly associated with having complete mental health among respondents who had ever seriously considered taking their own life. Controlling for all other factors in the final model, respondents who were older were more likely to have complete mental health. Compared to men, women had 1.58 times higher odds of having complete mental health (95% CI = 1.32–1.89). With regard to socioeconomic factors, we found that postsecondary graduates had 25% decreased odds of having complete mental health (95%

TABLE 2

Bivariate Association Between Complete Mental Health and Categorical Explanatory Variables Among Respondents With Lifetime Suicide Ideation (n = 2,844)

Variables	n	% Complete Mental Health	Test (sig.)
Age			
20 to 30 years	589	28.7	$\chi^2 = 44.70^{***}$
30 to 39 years	531	36.9	
40 to 49 years	660	37.1	
50 to 59 years	617	43.6	
60 years and above	447	46.8	
Gender			
Male	1260	34.3	$\chi^2 = 14.84^{***}$
Female	1584	41.4	
Race			
Non-White	506	30.0	$\chi^2 = 17.50^{***}$
White	2337	40.0	
Postsecondary graduate			
No	1108	38.2	$\chi^2 = 0.003$ ns
Yes	1735	38.3	
Income quintile			
Poor	394	25.1	$\chi^2 = 92.59^{***}$
Low-middle	918	30.7	
Upper-middle	1321	45.6	
Rich	211	49.8	
Marital status			
Single/never married	835	29.6	$\chi^2 = 39.68^{***}$
Married	1090	43.3	
Common-Law	437	40.3	
Formerly married	481	39.9	
Has a confidant			
No	249	5.2	$\chi^2 = 126.08^{***}$
Yes	2595	41.4	
Functional limitations			
Yes	491	18.3	$\chi^2 = 99.76^{***}$
No	2353	42.4	
Chronic pain			
Pain prevents some or many activities	508	22.6	$\chi^2 = 73.25^{***}$
Pain prevents none or a few activities	542	36.0	
No pain	1793	43.3	
Trouble sleeping			
Yes	876	25.3	$\chi^2 = 88.92^{***}$
No	1968	44.0	
Major depressive episode			
Ever diagnosed	1140	25.0	$\chi^2 = 140.84^{***}$
Not diagnosed	1704	47.1	
General anxiety disorder			
Ever diagnosed	901	26.9	$\chi^2 = 72.27^{***}$
Not diagnosed	1942	43.5	
Bipolar disorder			
Ever diagnosed	303	15.5	$\chi^2 = 74.15^{***}$
Not diagnosed	2540	40.9	

(continued)

TABLE 2
(continued)

Variables	<i>n</i>	% Complete Mental Health	Test (sig.)
Alcohol dependence			
Ever diagnosed	318	23.6	$\chi^2 = 32.63^{***}$
Not diagnosed	2526	40.1	
Cannabis dependence			
Ever diagnosed	151	32.5	$\chi^2 = 2.28 (.131)$
Not diagnosed	2693	38.6	
Other drug dependence			
Ever diagnosed	201	26.9	$\chi^2 = 11.88^{***}$
Not diagnosed	2643	39.1	
Religious coping			
No	899	30.5	$\chi^2 = 33.55^{***}$
Yes	1946	41.8	
Adverse childhood Experiences			
Three or more	1048	32.3	$\chi^2 = 34.71^{***}$
Two	442	35.7	
One	640	41.9	
None	715	45.2	

****p* < .001; ns, not significant.

CI = 0.62–0.90) compared to those without postsecondary education. However, compared to respondents who are poor, those in the upper-middle income group were 1.66 times more likely to have complete mental health (95% CI = 1.22–2.24) and those who are rich were 1.91 times more likely to have complete mental health (95% CI = 1.26–2.90). Respondents who had a confidant were 7.45 times more likely to have complete mental health (95% CI = 4.12–13.48). Respondents who did not have functional limitations were 2.12 times more likely to have complete mental health when compared to respondents who had functional limitations (95% CI = 1.60–2.80). Similarly, respondents who reported having pain that prevents few or no activities were 1.37 times more likely to have complete mental health (95% CI = 1.00–1.87) and respondents with no chronic pain were 1.69 times more likely to have complete mental health (95% CI = 1.29–2.22), both when compared to respondents with chronic pain that prevents some or many activities.

Respondents were also more likely to have complete mental health if they did not have trouble sleeping or had no lifetime diagnosis of major depressive episode, general anxiety disorder, bipolar disorder, or alcohol dependence. Religious coping was associated with complete mental health such that respondents who derived strength from their religious or spiritual beliefs to cope with everyday difficulties were 1.41 times more likely to have complete mental health when compared to their counterparts who did not (95% CI = 1.16–1.71). Lastly, compared to respondents who experienced three or more adverse childhood events, respondents who experienced no adverse childhood events were 1.3 times more likely to have complete mental health (95% CI = 1.04–1.63).

Furthermore, we tested for a first-order interaction between age and gender on complete mental health to find out whether the effect of gender on complete mental health varies for respondents based on their age. We found that, across all age groups, females were more likely to have

complete mental health when compared to their male counterparts, net the effect of all other factors. For instance, odds were 2.40 times higher for females who were above 60 years old (95% CI = 1.73–3.33), twice more likely for females aged 50 to 59 years (95% CI = 1.52–2.63), 1.58 times more likely for females aged 40 to 49 years (95% CI = 1.20–2.07), and 1.53 times more likely for females aged 30 to 39 years (95% CI = 1.14–2.04) to have complete mental health when compared to their male counterparts of the same age group.

Based on the Nagelkerke pseudo R square, all 18 variables cumulatively explained 25.6% of the variance in complete mental health among those who had ever been suicidal. The change in the omnibus chi-square value for each of the 8 models was also statistically significantly different from the initial model (i.e., the model with age, gender, and race). This suggests that each cluster of factors made significant contributions to the model. The Nagelkerke pseudo R square across the 9 models is informative. The initial model explained 3.3% of the variance in complete mental health. Adding variables related to religious coping, substance dependence, and early childhood adversities added about 2% per cluster to the variance in the initial model. Adjusting for socioeconomic status added 4.6% to the variance. Marital status and confidant added 7.2% to the variance. ~~The greatest contribution to the model was due to mental illness (i.e., lifetime diagnosis of major depressive episode, general anxiety disorder, and bipolar disorder) and physical health characteristics (i.e., functional limitation, pain, and trouble sleeping) which contributed more than 10% to the variance in complete mental health.~~

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first nationally representative Canadian study to examine complete mental health among those who had previously considered taking

their own life. We found that 38% of the respondents with lifetime suicide ideation had complete mental health compared to 77% of those who have never considered taking their own life. The finding in the general sample and in the suicide ideation sample that females and older individuals were more likely to have complete mental health is consistent with past studies (Blanchflower & Oswald, 2008; Gilmour, 2014; Inglehart, 2002). Findings regarding marital status and having a confidant are very interesting. Although social support explained 7% of the variance in complete mental health, the significant effect of marital status disappeared once physical health, mental illness, and other factors were taken into account. However, having a confidant remained significant in keeping with the large body of research in personality and social psychology that has consistently demonstrated that individuals with greater social support and who have someone they can count on are less likely to suffer psychological distress and other mental illness compared to their counterparts without the support of a confidant (Diener & Seligman, 2004; Gallagher & Vella-Brodrick, 2008; Oishi, Krochik, & Akimoto, 2010; Siskind, Harris, Pirkis, & Whiteford, 2012). This association is robust across different populations including college students (Gallagher & Vella-Brodrick, 2008) individuals with cancer (Ikeda et al., 2013) individuals with chronic conditions (Ryan et al., 2007) as well as individuals who engage in suicidal behaviors (Kleiman et al., 2014).

The findings of this study also indicate that religious coping behavior offers an important resource for individuals who face stressful life events such as suicide ideation. In trying to understand the link between religious coping and recovery from suicide, various reasons have been given. One relates to the notion that religious involvement prevents the behavioral, physical, psychological, and social factors that drive individuals to commit suicide (Hovey et al., 2014; Koenig et al., 2012). Religion teaches one a sense of self-acceptance and provides

1 one with a deeper sense of meaning,
2 belongingness, and attachment which then
3 serve as a source of emotional and psycho-
4 logical comfort to individuals in times of
5 stressful and life-threatening situations
6 (Fletcher, 2004; Gall, Miguez de Renart, &
7 Boonstra, 2000; Koenig, 2013). Another
8 reason is that religion may provide a guid-
9 ing context for how individuals will view,
10 interpret, perceive, and approach stressful
11 life events. It is also possible that the sense
12 of belongingness and attachment individuals
13 derive from participating in religious activi-
14 ties may help increase their self-esteem and
15 sense of control when facing substantial life
16 challenges. Informally, members of religious
17 organizations who worship together support
18 one another through prayer and regularly
19 visit those who are sick (Hummer, Rogers,
20 Nam, & Ellison, 1999).

21 The finding that respondents with
22 postsecondary education were less likely to
23 have complete mental health is quite per-
24 plexing. In the bivariate analysis shown in
25 Table 2, the effect of education on com-
26 plete mental health was small and non-
27 significant. However, adjusting for all other
28 factors in Table 3, we found that the coeffi-
29 cient of education was significant but in the
30 opposite ~~of the~~ hypothesized ~~direction~~. Fur-
31 ther research is needed to better understand
32 the effect of education on complete mental
33 health among ever suicidal individuals.

34 Given that the definition of complete
35 mental health includes the requirement of
36 no mental illness in the past 12 months, it
37 is not surprising that absence of lifetime
38 diagnoses of major depression, general anx-
39 iety disorder, bipolar disorder, and alcohol
40 dependence increases the likelihood of hav-
41 ing complete mental health. It has been
42 established in the literature that previous
43 mental illness is a strong predictor of future
44 mental illness (Fergusson, John Horwood,
45 & Ridder, 2005; Steinhausen, Meier, &
46 Angst, 1998). In addition, individuals with
47 depression, anxiety, bipolar disorder, and
48 substance use problems are at an increased
49 risk of attempting suicide than individuals
50 who do not have these mental diagnoses. In

fact, these, as well as previous suicide ide-
ation, are some of the key risk factors exam-
ined when assessing an individual's risk of
committing suicide (Brown, Beck, Steer, &
Grisham, 2000; Cheng, Chen, Chen, &
Jenkins, 2000). The physical health factors
that were examined relate to health prob-
lems present at the time the survey was
completed rather than lifetime physical
health problems. The extant literature has
consistently found an association between
health problems, such as chronic pain, trou-
ble sleeping, inability to complete activities
of daily living, mental health problems, and
lower odds of satisfaction with life (Gil-
mour, 2014; Penny, Purves, Smith, Cham-
bers, & Smith, 1999; Reimer & Flemons,
2003).

Study Limitations

It is important to keep in mind some
limitations when reviewing these findings.
First, the use of publicly available data lim-
its the analyses to those factors for which
information is available and therefore
excludes other factors that may be consid-
ered informative to include in the model. In
particular, we were unable to examine sui-
cide plans and attempts in this study,
because these variables were not available in
the public use microdata file. Also, there
was no information on the timing and dura-
tion of the last episode of suicide ideation.
Future research would benefit from more
exact information on the timing and dura-
tion of previous suicide ideation as well as
examination of suicide plans and attempts.
Second, the CCHS-MH uses a multistage
survey design, and thus, it would have been
best to use a bootstrap variance estimate.
Unfortunately, this was impossible to do
with the public use data set. Thus, our
reported confidence intervals may be smal-
ler than would have been the case if we
could have bootstrapped.

Third, this study used cross-sectional
data which preclude our ability to make cau-
sal inferences regarding the association
between some of the explanatory variables

TABLE 3
Multivariate Logistic Regression Predicting Complete Mental Health Among Respondents With Lifetime Suicide Ideation (n = 2,844)

Variables	Model 1 Age, Gender, Race AOR (95% CI)	Model 2 Age, Gender, Race + SES AOR (95% CI)	Model 3 Age, Gender, Race + Social Support AOR (95% CI)	Model 4 Age, Gender, Race + Health AOR (95% CI)	Model 5 Age, Gender, Race + Mental Health AOR (95% CI)
Demographic variables					
Age (20 to 30 years)	1.42 (1.11–1.83)**	1.37 (1.06–1.78)*	1.35 (1.03–1.77)*	1.46 (1.13–1.89)**	1.44 (1.11–1.87)**
30 to 39 years	1.43 (1.13–1.82)**	1.40 (1.09–1.78)**	1.34 (1.02–1.74)*	1.68 (1.31–2.16)***	1.49 (1.16–1.91)**
40 to 49 years	1.81 (1.42–2.30)***	1.63 (1.27–2.09)***	1.58 (1.20–2.08)***	2.23 (1.74–2.87)***	1.87 (1.45–2.40)***
50 to 59 years	2.05 (1.58–2.66)***	2.22 (1.70–2.90)***	1.99 (1.46–2.71)***	2.62 (1.99–3.45)***	1.90 (1.45–2.49)***
60 years and above					
Gender (Male)					
Female	1.35 (1.16–1.58)***	1.48 (1.26–1.74)***	1.27 (1.08–1.49)**	1.56 (1.33–1.84)***	1.49 (1.27–1.76)***
Race (non-White)					
White	1.44 (1.16–1.77)***	1.25 (1.00–1.55)*	1.30 (1.05–1.62)*	1.39 (1.12–1.73)**	1.52 (1.22–1.89)***
Socioeconomic status					
Postsecondary graduate (No)					
Yes		0.78 (0.66–0.92)**			
Income (Poor)					
Lower-middle income		1.30 (0.99–1.71)			
Upper-middle income		2.65 (2.04–3.45)***			
Rich		3.35 (2.31–4.85)***			
Social support					
Marital status (Single/never married)					
Married			1.31 (1.05–1.64)*		
Common-law			1.22 (0.95–1.57)		
Formerly married			1.13 (0.85–1.49)		
Has a confidant (No)					
Yes			12.22 (6.90–21.64)***		

(continued)

TABLE 3
(continued)

Variables	Model 1 Age, Gender, Race AOR (95% CI)	Model 2 Age, Gender, Race + SES AOR (95% CI)	Model 3 Age, Gender, Race + Social Support AOR (95% CI)	Model 4 Age, Gender, Race + Health AOR (95% CI)	Model 5 Age, Gender, Race + Mental Health AOR (95% CI)
Health					
Functional limitations (Yes)					
No				2.76 (2.13–3.59)***	
Chronic pain (Pain prevents some or many activities)				1.46 (1.09–1.95)*	
Pain prevents none or a few activities				1.90 (1.48–2.45)***	
No pain				1.92 (1.59–2.32)***	
Trouble sleeping (Yes)					
No					
Mental health					
Major depressive episode (Ever diagnosed)					2.28 (1.91–2.72)***
Not diagnosed					
General anxiety disorder (Ever diagnosed)					1.60 (1.33–1.93)***
Not diagnosed					
Bipolar disorder (Ever diagnosed)					2.62 (1.88–3.66)***
Not diagnosed					
Substance use					
Alcohol dependence (Ever diagnosed)					
Not diagnosed					
Cannabis dependence (Ever diagnosed)					
Not diagnosed					
Other drug dependence (Ever diagnosed)					
Not diagnosed					
Coping					
Religious coping (No)					
Yes					

(continued)

TABLE 3
(continued)

Variables	Model 6 Age, Gender, Race + Substance Use AOR (95% CI)	Model 7 Age, Gender, Race + Coping AOR (95% CI)	Model 8 Age, Gender, Race + Early Adversities AOR (95% CI)	Final Model AOR (95% CI)
Postsecondary graduate (No)				0.75 (0.62–0.90)**
Yes				
Income (Poor)				0.92 (0.68–1.25)
Lower-middle income				1.66 (1.22–2.24)***
Upper-middle income				1.91 (1.26–2.90)**
Rich				
Social support				
Marital status (Single/never married)				1.04 (0.81–1.34)
Married				1.14 (0.86–1.51)
Common-law				1.20 (0.88–1.63)
Formerly married				
Has a confidant (No)				7.45 (4.12–13.48)***
Yes				
Health				
Functional limitations (Yes)				2.12 (1.60–2.80)***
No				
Chronic pain (Pain prevents some or many activities)				1.37 (1.00–1.87)*
Pain prevents none or a few activities				1.69 (1.29–2.22)***
No pain				
Trouble sleeping (Yes)				1.55 (1.27–1.90)***
No				
Mental health				
Major depressive episode (Ever diagnosed)				1.87 (1.55–2.26)***
Not diagnosed				
General anxiety disorder (Ever diagnosed)				1.37 (1.12–1.68)**
Not diagnosed				

(continued)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

TABLE 3
(continued)

Variables	Model 6 Age, Gender, Race + Substance Use AOR (95% CI)	Model 7 Age, Gender, Race + Coping AOR (95% CI)	Model 8 Age, Gender, Race + Early Adversities AOR (95% CI)	Final Model AOR (95% CI)
Bipolar disorder (Ever diagnosed)				2.05 (1.44–2.93)***
Not diagnosed				
Substance use				
Alcohol dependence (Ever diagnosed)				
Not diagnosed	1.93 (1.46–2.57)***			1.57 (1.14–2.14)**
Cannabis dependence (Ever diagnosed)				
Not diagnosed	0.87 (0.60–1.28)			0.78 (0.51–1.19)
Other drug dependence (Ever diagnosed)				
Not diagnosed	1.36 (0.96–1.92)			0.97 (0.65–1.44)
Coping				
Religious coping (No)				
Yes		1.44 (1.21–1.72)***		1.41 (1.16–1.71)***
Adverse Childhood Experiences				
Adverse Childhood Experiences (Three or more)				
Two				
One			1.15 (0.90–1.45)	0.91 (0.70–1.18)
None			1.53 (1.24–1.88)***	1.20 (0.96–1.52)
Nagelkerke R Square	.047	.041	1.82 (1.51–2.26)***	1.30 (1.04–1.63)*
Change in Nagelkerke R Square	.014	.008	.052	.256
Block chi-square (sig)	30.34 (.001)	16.91 (.001)	.019	.223
			40.65 (.001)	594.65 (.001)

AOR, adjusted odds ratio.

Reference categories are identified in brackets.

* $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$.

and complete mental health. A study utilizing a longitudinal design that follows individuals is needed to understand complete mental health over the life course among individuals with lifetime suicide ideation. Fourth, whereas the relatively large sample size provides substantial statistical power to reject the null hypothesis when it is not correct, it also increases the likelihood of finding statistical significance for small differences that are not of clinical relevance. Fifth, changing the underlying levels of measurement of an ordinal variable into a dichotomous variable has the potential to affect the coefficient of some of the results reported in this study. However, the decision to recode some ordinal variables into dichotomous variables was informed by cell size consideration. Some of the categories of the ordinal variables had small numbers when analyzed as multilevel categories. Lastly, some of the information collected is self-reported and, thus, may be affected by recall bias.

Study Implications

In conclusion, findings from this population-based study highlight the importance of support, both financial and social support, and absence of sleep problems,

physical health, and pain in contributing to complete mental health among all individuals, and, that many individuals with these positive attributes who had previously considered suicide make a full recovery into full mental health, free of suicidal thoughts. These are protective factors that can be targeted from a prevention and intervention standpoint. Taking a holistic perspective and enhancing a suicidal individual's social support and resources, as well as addressing any physical health and mental illness problems, may increase the likelihood that an individual with a history of suicide ideation may recover and potentially prevent future suicidality. Considering these protective factors in designing public health policies will also allow for a more wide-reaching approach to suicide prevention that spans various physical health, mental illness, and community organizations. Ultimately, the findings of this study provide hope to suicidal individuals, their families, and care providers. More than a third of previously suicidal respondents not only had banished their suicide thoughts, they were also free of other mental illness, and enjoyed a high degree of happiness and/or life satisfaction as well as social and psychological well-being.

5 REFERENCES

- BENDER, T. W., GORDON, K. H., BRESIN, K., & JOINER, T. E. (2011). Impulsivity and suicidality: The mediating role of painful and provocative experiences. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 129*(1), 301–307.
- BLACKMORE, E. R., MUNCE, S., WELLER, I., ZAGORSKI, B., STANSFELD, S. A., STEWART, D. E., ET AL. (2008). Psychosocial and clinical correlates of suicidal acts: Results from a national population survey. *The British Journal of Psychiatry, 192*, 279–284.
- BLANCHFLOWER, D. G., & OSWALD, A. J. (2008). Is well-being U-shaped over the life cycle? *Social Science & Medicine, 66*, 1733–1749.
- BORGES, G., ANGST, J., NOCK, M. K., RUSCIO, A. M., WALTERS, E. E., & KESSLER, R. C. (2006). A risk index for 12-month suicide attempts in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R). *Psychological Medicine, 36*, 1747–1757.
- BROWN, G. K., BECK, A. T., STEER, R. A., & GRISHAM, J. R. (2000). Risk factors for suicide in psychiatric outpatients: A 20-year prospective study. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 68*(3), 371.
- BURROWS, S., AUGER, N., ROY, M., & ALIX, C. (2010). Socio-economic inequalities in suicide attempts and suicide mortality in Quebec, Canada, 1990–2005. *Public Health, 124*, 78–85.
- CHENG, A. T., CHEN, T. H., CHEN, C. C., & JENKINS, R. (2000). Psychosocial and psychiatric risk factors for suicide case-control psychological autopsy study. *The British Journal of Psychiatry, 177*, 360–365.
- CLIFFORD, A. C., DORAN, C. M., & TSEY, K. (2013). A systematic review of suicide

prevention interventions targeting indigenous peoples in Australia, United States, Canada and New Zealand. *BMC Public Health*, 13(1), 463.

COHEN, A., CHAPMAN, B. P., GILMAN, S. E., DELMERICO, A. M., WIECZOREK, W., DUBERSTEIN, P. R., ET AL. (2010). Social inequalities in the occurrence of suicidal ideation among older primary care patients. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 18, 1146–1154.

COUGLE, J. R., RESNICK, H., & KILPATRICK, D. G. (2009). PTSD, depression, and their comorbidity in relation to suicidality: Cross-sectional and prospective analyses of a national probability sample of women. *Depression and Anxiety*, 26(12), 1151–1157.

DIENER, E., & SELIGMAN, M. E. (2004). Beyond money toward an economy of well-being. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 5 (1), 1–31.

FERGUSON, D. M., JOHN HORWOOD, L., & RIDDER, E. M. (2005). Show me the child at seven: The consequences of conduct problems in childhood for psychosocial functioning in adulthood. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 46, 837–849.

FLETCHER, S. K. (2004). Religion and life meaning: Differentiating between religious beliefs and religious community in constructing life meaning. *Journal of Aging Studies*, 18(2), 171–185.

FULLER-THOMSON, E., HAMELIN, G. P., & GRANGER, S. J. (2013). Suicidal ideation in a population-based sample of adolescents: Implications for family medicine practice. *International Scholarly Research Notices*, 2(1), 1–11.

GALL, T. L., MIGUEZ DE RENART, R. M., & BOONSTRA, B. (2000). Religious resources in long-term adjustment to breast cancer. *Journal of Psychosocial Oncology*, 18(2), 21–37.

GALLAGHER, E. N., & VELLA-BRODRICK, D. A. (2008). Social support and emotional intelligence as predictors of subjective well-being. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 44(7), 1551–1561.

GILMOUR, H. (2014). Positive mental health and mental illness. *Health Reports*, 25, 3–9.

HAHS-VAUGHN, D. L. (2005). A primer for using and understanding weights with national datasets. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 73(3), 221–248.

HOVEY, J. D., HURTADO, G., MORALES, L. R., & SELIGMAN, L. D. (2014). Religion-based emotional social support mediates the relationship between intrinsic religiosity and mental health. *Archives of Suicide Research*, 18, 376–391.

HOWELL, A. J., & BURO, K. (2015). Measuring and predicting student well-being: Further evidence in support of the flourishing scale and the scale of positive and negative experiences. *Social Indicators Research*, 121, 903–915.

HUMMER, R. A., ROGERS, R. G., NAM, C. B., & ELLISON, C. G. (1999). Religious involvement and US adult mortality. *Demography*, 36, 273–285.

IKEDA, A., KAWACHI, I., ISO, H., IWASAKI, M., INOUE, M., & TSUGANE, S. (2013). Social support and cancer incidence and mortality: The JPHC study cohort II. *Cancer Causes and Control*, 24, 847–860.

INGLEHART, R. (2002). Gender, aging, and subjective well-being. *International Journal of Comparative Sociology*, 43(3–5), 391–408.

JOINER, T. E., JR. (2005). *Why people die by suicide*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

JOINER, T. E., JR., SACHS-ERICSSON, N. J., WINGATE, L. R., BROWN, J. S., ANESTIS, M. D., & SELBY, E. A. (2007). Childhood physical and sexual abuse and lifetime number of suicide attempts: A persistent and theoretically important relationship. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 45, 539–547.

KAHNEMAN, D., & KRUEGER, A. B. (2006). Developments in the measurement of subjective well-being. *The Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 20(1), 3–24.

KEYES, C. L. (2002). The mental health continuum: From languishing to flourishing in life. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 43, 207–222.

KEYES, C. L. (2003). Complete mental health: An agenda for the 21st century. In C. L. Keyes & J. Haidt (Eds.), *Flourishing: Positive psychology and the life well-lived* (pp. 293–312). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/10594-013>.

KEYES, C. L. (2005). Mental illness and/or mental health? Investigating axioms of the complete state model of health. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 73, 539–548.

KEYES, C. L. (2007). Promoting and protecting mental health as flourishing: A complementary strategy for improving national mental health. *American Psychologist*, 62, 95.

KEYES, C. L. (2009). The nature and importance of positive mental health in America's adolescents. In R. Gilman, E. S. Huebner, & M. J. Furlong (Eds.), *Handbook of positive psychology in schools* (pp. 9–23). New York: Routledge.

KEYES, C. L., EISENBERG, D., PERRY, G. S., DUBE, S. R., KROENKE, K., & DHINGRA, S. S. (2012). The relationship of level of positive mental health with current mental disorders in predicting suicidal behavior and academic impairment in college students. *Journal of American College Health*, 60, 126–133.

KEYES, C. L., & SIMOES, E. J. (2012). To flourish or not: Positive mental health and

all-cause mortality. *American Journal of Public Health*, 102, 2164–2172.

KLEIMAN, E. M., RISKIND, J. H., & SCHAEFER, K. E. (2014). Social support and positive events as suicide resiliency factors: Examination of synergistic buffering effects. *Archives of Suicide Research*, 18, 144–155.

KLONSKY, E. D., & MOYER, A. (2008). Childhood sexual abuse and non-suicidal self-injury: Meta-analysis. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 192, 166–170.

KOENIG, H. G. (2013). *Spirituality in patient care: Why, how, when, and what*. Templeton Foundation Press.

KOENIG, H., KING, D., & CARSON, V. B. (2012). *Handbook of religion and health*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

LAMERS, S., WESTERHOF, G. J., BOHLMMEIJER, E. T., TEN KLOOSTER, P. M., & KEYES, C. L. (2011). Evaluating the psychometric properties of the mental health Continuum-Short form (MHC-SF). *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 67(1), 99–110.

LEMSTRA, M., NEUDORF, C., MACKENBACH, J., KERSHAW, T., NANNAPANENI, U., & SCOTT, C. (2009). Suicidal ideation: The role of economic and aboriginal cultural status after multivariate adjustment. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 54, 589–595.

LYUBOMIRSKY, S., KING, L., & DIENER, E. (2005). The benefits of frequent positive affect: Does happiness lead to success? *Psychological Bulletin*, 131, 803.

MALIK, S., KANWAR, A., SIM, L. A., PROKOP, L. J., WANG, Z., BENKHADRA, K., ET AL. (2014). The association between sleep disturbances and suicidal behaviors in patients with psychiatric diagnoses: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Systematic Reviews*, 3, 2–9.

MALONE, K. M., OQUENDO, M. A., HAAS, G. L., ELLIS, S. P., LI, S., & MANN, J. J. (2000). Protective factors against suicidal acts in major depression: Reasons for living. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 157, 1084–1088.

MILLER, M., AZRAEL, D., HEPBURN, L., HEMENWAY, D., & LIPPMANN, S. J. (2006). The association between changes in household firearm ownership and rates of suicide in the United States, 1981–2002. *Injury Prevention*, 12, 178–182.

MUEHLENKAMP, J. J. (2014). Distinguishing between suicidal and nonsuicidal self-injury. In M. K. Nock (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of suicide and self-injury* (pp. 23–46). New York: Oxford University Press.

MUEHLENKAMP, J. J., & GUTIERREZ, P. M. (2007). Risk for suicide attempts among adolescents who engage in non-suicidal self-injury. *Archives of Suicide Research*, 11(1), 69–82.

MUNDT, J. C., GREIST, J. H., JEFFERSON, J. W., FEDERICO, M., MANN, J. J., & POSNER, K. (2013). Prediction of suicidal behavior in clinical research by lifetime suicidal ideation and behavior ascertained by the electronic Columbia-suicide severity rating scale. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 74, 887–893.

MYERS, D. G. (2012). Religious engagement and well-being. In S. A. David, I. Boniwell, & A. C. Ayers (Eds.), *The oxford handbook of happiness* (pp. 88–100). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

NADORFF, R. M., NAZEM, S., & FISKE, A. (2013). Insomnia symptoms, nightmares, and suicide risk: Duration of sleep disturbance matters. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 43, 139–149.

NOCK, M. K., JOINER, T. E., JR., GORDON, K. H., LLOYD-RICHARDSON, E., & PRINSTEIN, M. J. (2006). Non-suicidal self-injury among adolescents: Diagnostic correlates and relation to suicide attempts. *Psychiatry Research*, 144(1), 65–72.

OISHI, S., KROCHIK, M., & AKIMOTO, S. (2010). Felt understanding as a bridge between close relationships and subjective Well-Being: Antecedents and consequences across individuals and cultures. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 4, 403–416.

PAN, Y. J., STEWART, R., & CHANG, C. K. (2013). Socioeconomic disadvantage, mental disorders and risk of 12-month suicide ideation and attempt in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R) in US. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 48(1), 71–79.

PANAGIOTI, M., GOODING, P. A., & TARRIER, N. (2012). Hopelessness, defeat, and entrapment in posttraumatic stress disorder: Their association with suicidal behavior and severity of depression. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 200, 676–683.

PENNY, K. I., PURVES, A. M., SMITH, B. H., CHAMBERS, W. A., & SMITH, W. C. (1999). Relationship between the chronic pain grade and measures of physical, social and psychological well-being. *Pain*, 79, 275–279.

PIGEON, W. R., PINQUART, M., & CONNER, K. (2012). Meta-analysis of sleep disturbance and suicidal thoughts and behaviors. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 73, e1160–e1167.

PRESSMAN, S. D., & COHEN, S. (2005). Does positive affect influence health? *Psychological Bulletin*, 131, 925.

REIMER, M. A., & FLEMONS, W. W. (2003). Quality of life in sleep disorders. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 7, 335–349.

ROBITSCHKE, C., & KEYES, C. L. M. (2009). The structure of Keyes' model of mental health and the role of personal growth initiative as a parsimonious predictor. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 56, 321–329.

ROSENBERG, H. J., JANKOWSKI, M. K., SENGUPTA, A., WOLFE, R. S., WOLFORD, I., GEORGE, L., ET AL. (2005). Single and multiple suicide attempts and associated health risk factors in New Hampshire adolescents. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 35, 547–557.

ROWE, J. L., BRUCE, M. L., & CONWELL, Y. (2006). Correlates of suicide among home health care utilizers who died by suicide and community controls. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 36(1), 65–75.

ROY, A., SARCHIAPONE, M., & CARLI, V. (2007). Low resilience in suicide attempters. *Archives of Suicide Research*, 11, 265–269.

RYAN, K. A., RAPPORT, L. J., SHERMAN, T. E., HANKS, R. A., LISAK, R., & KHAN, O. (2007). Predictors of subjective well-being among individuals with multiple sclerosis. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 21, 239–262.

SAREEN, J., HOULAHAN, T., COX, B. J., & ASMUNDSON, G. J. (2005). Anxiety disorders associated with suicidal ideation and suicide attempts in the national comorbidity survey. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 193, 450–454.

SCHRIJVERS, D. L., BOLLEN, J., & SABBE, B. G. (2012). The gender paradox in suicidal behavior and its impact on the suicidal process. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 138(1), 19–26.

SISKIND, D., HARRIS, M., PIRKIS, J., & WHITEFORD, H. (2012). Personalised support

delivered by support workers for people with severe and persistent mental illness: A systematic review of patient outcomes. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, 21(01), 97–110.

Statistics Canada. (2013). *Canadian community health survey – mental health, 2012*. [?????]: Statistics Canada. **8**

STEINHAUSEN, H., MEIER, M., & ANGST, J. (1998). The Zurich long-term outcome study of child and adolescent psychiatric disorders in males. *Psychological Medicine*, 28, 375–383.

THOMAS, S. L., & HECK, R. H. (2001). Analysis of large-scale secondary data in higher education research: Potential perils associated with complex sampling designs. *Research in Higher Education*, 42, 517–540.

VOGEL, L. (2011). Canada suicide prevention efforts lagging, experts say. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 183(1), E27–E28.

World Health Organization. (2014). *Preventing suicide: A global imperative*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO. Available at: http://www.who.int/mental_health/suicide-prevention/world_report_2014/en/. Accessed September 5, 2014.

Manuscript Received: May 2, 2015

Revision Accepted: September 23, 2015

Author Query Form

Journal: SLTB
Article: 12230

Dear Author,

During the copy-editing of your paper, the following queries arose. Please respond to these by marking up your proofs with the necessary changes/additions. Please write your answers on the query sheet if there is insufficient space on the page proofs. Please write clearly and follow the conventions shown on the attached corrections sheet. If returning the proof by fax do not write too close to the paper's edge. Please remember that illegible mark-ups may delay publication.

Many thanks for your assistance.

Query reference	Query	Remarks
1	AUTHOR: A running head short title was not supplied; please check if this one is suitable and, if not, please supply a short title of up to 40 characters that can be used instead.	
2	AUTHOR: Please confirm that given names (red) and surnames/family names (green) have been identified correctly.	
3	AUTHOR: Please provide qualification for all authors.	
4	AUTHOR: Nadorf, Nazem, & Fiske, 2013 has been changed to Nadorff, Nazem, & Fiske, 2013 so that this citation matches the Reference List. Please confirm that this is correct.	
5	AUTHOR: Issue numbers are deleted in few Journal references, by considering those journal references carry a continuous page range throughout the volume, which is the required style for APA reference styling. Please check and confirm whether it is okay.	
6	AUTHOR: Please provide the volume number for reference Fuller-Thomson et al. (2013).	
7	AUTHOR: Please provide the publisher location for reference Koenig (2013).	
8	AUTHOR: Please provide the publisher location for reference Statistics Canada (2013).	