

INTRODUCTION: One of the newest ways to consume energy is by taking Sheet Energy Strips. This new supplement was recently introduced to the market in July 2011. These strips are placed on the tongue and dissolve 100 mg of caffeine per strip and B vitamins into the body. It claims to have the same effects as energy drinks but with no sugar, calories, or after crash effects. <u>PURPOSE</u>: The purpose of this study was to examine the metabolic and physical effects of orally intake of caffeine through Sheet Energy Strips on a maximal exercise test. **METHODS:** Five physically fit males, who were non- regular caffeine users, 130 mg to 300 mg per day, participated in this study. At the start of each trial, subjects administered Sheets Energy Strip or placebo based on their body weight, 2 mg of caffeine for every 1 kilogram of body weight. There was a 10 minute gap between Sheets Energy Strip or placebo intake and the test. The subjects were tested twice using the **Bruce Protocol, in randomized order with 48 hour rest period between** each test. The subject's HR, BP, RPE, and VO₂ max were recorded. **<u>RESULTS</u>**: After analyzing the data on Excel Spreadsheet, using a repeated measure T-test, the results showed that there was no significant difference in HR (p= 0.665), SBP (p=0.223), DBP (p=0.178), and RPE (p=0.235). However, it was revealed that there was a significant difference in VO₂ max (p=0.013). <u>CONCLUSION:</u> Although the Sheets Energy Strips showed no significant difference in HR, BP, and RPE it did show a significant difference in VO₂ max. This may suggest that the caffeine in the Sheets Energy Strips played a role in improving performance on the maximal exercise test.

Purpose

The purpose of this study was to examine the metabolic and physical effects of orally intake of caffeine through Sheet Energy Strips, strips that are dissolved on the tongue releasing 100 mg of caffeine per strip and B vitamins, on a maximal exercise test.

WHAT ARE THE EFFECTS OF A SHEET ENERGY **STRIP ON MAXIMAL EXERCISE PERFORMANCE**

Author: Nancy Rico,

TM Faculty Sponsors: Dr. Judy Wilson, Brad Heddins, Jeremiah Campbell: Cardiovascular Research Laboratory, The University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX;

Methods

Subjec

•The selection of subjects began with about their habitual caffeine use •Five physically fit male students were of non-regular caffeine use, caffeine day.

Instrumen

 Polar heart rate monitor (FS1 series American Diagnostic Corporation b blood pressure

- The Borg scale of Perceived Exertio
- The metabolic cart to measure VO₂
- Bruce protocol for the treadmill test
- Sheets Energy Strips
- Listerine Strips for placebo

Procedure

• The same procedure was performed on two different days with 48 hours of rest in between.

• Subjects were instructed to abstain from any caffeine intake 12 hours prior to each trial, as well as refrain from food and beverage intake 3 hours prior to each trial.

•At the start of each trial subjects administered Sheets Energy Strip or placebo on their tongue based on their body weight, 2 mg of caffeine for every 1 kilogram of body weight. •There was a 10 minute gap between Sheets Energy Strip or placebo intake and the test.

• The subjects were tested on the Bruce Protocol, in randomized order.

	Results Table 1: Related Variables			
	Variables	Mean	<u>+</u> SD	p value
a random verbal questionnaire	HR PlaceboHR SES	178.80 183.00	15.51 16.81	0.665
re selected based on the criteria	SBP Placebo SBP SES	190.40 172.80	12.68 18.36	0.223
intake of 130 mg to 300 mg per	DBP Placebo DBP SES	79.20 78.40	1.10 0.89	0.178
tation				0.235
s) to record heart rate	RPE Placebo RPE SES	14 15	0.89 0.89	
lood pressure cuff to record	VO₂ Placebo	42.56	8.62	0.013
on to measure the RPE	VO ₂ SES	45.20	8.66	
Churches Shares and Sh	Figure1: Co 200	omparison of Plac	ebo and Sheets Energ	y Strips





the two trials.

•This experiment revealed that even thoughVO₂ increased, HR did not suggesting that caffeine may have played a role in increasing performance during the maximal exercise test.



•There was no significant difference in HR, BP, and RPE between

•There was significant difference in max VO₂